Pick interpolation and the displacement equation

Rachael M. Norton

University of Iowa

Multivariable Operator Theory at the Technion On the occasion of Baruch Solel's 65th birthday

Classical Nevanlinna-Pick theorem

Let $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) = \{ f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is bounded and analytic} \}.$

Theorem (Pick 1915)

Given N distinct points $z_1, \ldots, z_N \in \mathbb{D}$ and N points $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N \in \mathbb{C}$, there exists $f \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ such that $||f||_{\infty} \leq 1$ and

$$f(z_i) = \lambda_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N,$$

if and only if the Pick matrix

$$\left[\frac{1-\overline{\lambda_i}\lambda_j}{1-\overline{z_i}z_j}\right]_{i,j=1}^N$$

is positive semidefinite.

Early generalizations

- (Nagy-Koranyi 1956) $\lambda_i \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$.
- (Sarason 1967) Commutant lifting in H[∞](D) implies classical Nevanlinna-Pick theorem and Nagy-Koranyi theorem.
- (Ball-Gohberg 1985) Commutant lifting in the set of block upper triangular matrices implies Nevanlinna-Pick theorem for $z_i \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\lambda_i \in M_m(\mathbb{C})$.

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

Generalizations of interest

Two main strategies for proving generalized noncommutative Nevanlinna-Pick theorems since 1967:

- displacement equation
- commutant lifting

3 N

Generalizations of interest

Two main strategies for proving generalized noncommutative Nevanlinna-Pick theorems since 1967:

- displacement equation
- commutant lifting

Goal:

• Understand the relationship between these two approaches

3 N

Generalizations of interest

Two main strategies for proving generalized noncommutative Nevanlinna-Pick theorems since 1967:

- displacement equation (Constantinescu-Johnson 2003)
- commutant lifting (Muhly-Solel 2004, Popescu 2003)

Goal:

• Understand the relationship between these two approaches





- 2 Generalized Nevanlinna-Pick theorem
- 3 Comparison with Popescu's theorem
- 4 Comparison with Muhly-Solel's theorem

Definitions

Generalized Nevanlinna-Pick theorem Comparison with Popescu's theorem Comparison with Muhly-Solel's theorem

Definitions

W^* -algebra

A W^* -algebra M is a C^* -algebra that is a dual space.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Definitions

Generalized Nevanlinna-Pick theorem Comparison with Popescu's theorem Comparison with Muhly-Solel's theorem

Definitions

W^* -algebra

A W^* -algebra M is a C^* -algebra that is a dual space.

W^* -correspondence

A W^* -correspondence E over a W^* -algebra M is

- a Hilbert C*-module over M
- self-dual
- equipped with a faithful, normal *-homomorphism $\varphi: M \to \mathscr{L}(E)$ that gives the left action of M on E.

- 4 同 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

Definitions Generalized Nevanlinna-Pick theorem Comparison with Popescu's theorem

Comparison with Muhly-Solel's theorem

Examples of W^* -correspondences

•
$$M = E = \mathbb{C}$$

• $a \cdot c \cdot b = acb$
• $\langle c, d \rangle = \overline{c}d$
• $M = \mathbb{C}, E = \mathbb{C}^n$
• $a \cdot \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix} \cdot b = \begin{bmatrix} ac_1b \\ \vdots \\ ac_nb \end{bmatrix}$
• $\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ \vdots \\ d_n \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle = \sum \overline{c_i} d_i$

A 10

∃ → < ∃</p>

Definitions

Generalized Nevanlinna-Pick theorem Comparison with Popescu's theorem Comparison with Muhly-Solel's theorem

Examples cont.

▲□ ► < □ ► </p>

-

э

W^* -correspondence setting

Given

- *M*, a W*-algebra
- *E*, a W*-correspondence over *M*

define

- the Fock space $\mathscr{F}(E)$ to be the ultraweak direct sum $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} E^{\otimes k}$, where $E^{\otimes 0} = M$, viewed as a bimodule over itself
- the von Neumann algebra of bounded operators $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{F}(E))$ on the Fock space of E

Operators on the Fock space $\mathscr{F}(E)$

Define the **left action operator** $\varphi_{\infty} : M \to \mathscr{L}(\mathscr{F}(E))$ by

$$arphi_{\infty}(\mathsf{a}) = egin{bmatrix} \mathsf{a} & & & \ & arphi(\mathsf{a}) & & \ & & arphi_2(\mathsf{a}) & & \ & & \ & & arphi_2(\mathsf{a}) &$$

where $\varphi_k(a): E^{\otimes k} \to E^{\otimes k}$ is given by

 $\varphi_k(a)(\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \xi_k) = (\varphi(a)\xi_1) \otimes \xi_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \xi_k.$

Operators on the Fock space $\mathscr{F}(E)$ cont.

For $\xi \in E$, define the **left creation operator** $T_{\xi} : \mathscr{F}(E) \to \mathscr{F}(E)$ by $T_{\xi}(\eta) = \xi \otimes \eta$, i.e.,

$$egin{array}{cccc} T_{\xi} = egin{bmatrix} 0 & & & \ T_{\xi}^{(1)} & 0 & & \ & T_{\xi}^{(2)} & 0 & & \ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

where $T_{\xi}^{(k)}: E^{\otimes k-1} \to E^{\otimes k}$ is given by $T_{\xi}^{(k)}(\eta_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \eta_{k-1}) = \xi \otimes \eta_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \eta_{k-1}.$

(4月) (4日) (4日)

Definitions Generalized Nevanlinna-Pick theorem

Comparison with Popescu's theorem Comparison with Muhly-Solel's theorem

Subalgebras of $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{F}(E))$

Tensor algebra of E

The **tensor algebra** of *E*, denoted $\mathscr{T}_+(E)$, is the norm-closed subalgebra of $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{F}(E))$ generated by $\{\varphi_{\infty}(a) \mid a \in M\}$ and $\{T_{\xi} \mid \xi \in E\}$.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Definitions

Generalized Nevanlinna-Pick theorem Comparison with Popescu's theorem Comparison with Muhly-Solel's theorem

Subalgebras of $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{F}(E))$

Tensor algebra of E

The **tensor algebra** of *E*, denoted $\mathscr{T}_+(E)$, is the norm-closed subalgebra of $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{F}(E))$ generated by $\{\varphi_{\infty}(a) \mid a \in M\}$ and $\{T_{\xi} \mid \xi \in E\}$.

Hardy algebra of E

The **Hardy algebra** of *E*, denoted $H^{\infty}(E)$, is the ultraweak closure of $\mathscr{T}_{+}(E)$ in $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{F}(E))$.

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

The σ -dual E^{σ}

Given

- M, a W^* -algebra
- E, a W^* -correspondence
- $\sigma: M \to B(H)$, a faithful, normal representation of M on a Hilbert space H,

define

•
$$E^{\sigma} := \{\eta \in B(H, E \otimes_{\sigma} H) \mid \eta \sigma(a) = (\varphi(a) \otimes I_H) \eta \, \forall a \in M \}.$$

E^{σ} is a W^* -correspondence over $\sigma(M)'$

$$\mathsf{E}^{\sigma} := \{\eta \in \mathsf{B}(\mathsf{H}, \mathsf{E} \otimes_{\sigma} \mathsf{H}) \mid \eta \sigma(\mathsf{a}) = (\varphi(\mathsf{a}) \otimes \mathsf{I}_{\mathsf{H}}) \eta \, \forall \mathsf{a} \in \mathsf{M} \}$$

 E^{σ} is a W^* -correspondence over $\sigma(M)'$:

•
$$a \cdot \eta \cdot b := (I_E \otimes a)\eta b$$

•
$$\langle \eta, \xi \rangle := \eta^* \xi$$

Construct $H^{\infty}(E^{\sigma})$.

.

A D

Cauchy kernel

$$\mathsf{E}^{\sigma} := \{\eta \in \mathsf{B}(\mathsf{H},\mathsf{E}\otimes_{\sigma}\mathsf{H}) \mid \eta\sigma(\mathsf{a}) = (arphi(\mathsf{a})\otimes \mathsf{I}_{\mathsf{H}})\eta\,orall \mathsf{a}\in\mathsf{M}\}$$

For $\eta \in E^{\sigma}$ with $\|\eta\| < 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, define

• the *kth* tensorial power $\eta^{(k)} \in B(H, E^{\otimes k} \otimes_{\sigma} H)$ by

$$\eta^{(k)} = (I_{E^{\otimes k-1}} \otimes \eta)(I_{E^{\otimes k-2}} \otimes \eta) \cdots (I_E \otimes \eta)\eta$$

• the Cauchy kernel $C(\eta) \in B(H, \mathscr{F}(E) \otimes_{\sigma} H)$ by

$$\mathcal{C}(\eta) = \begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathcal{H}} & \eta & \eta^{(2)} & \eta^{(3)} & \cdots \end{bmatrix}^T$$

・ 戸 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Spectral radius in the W^* -correspondence setting

Spectral radius

For $\eta \in E^{\sigma}$, define the **spectral radius** of η by

$$r(\eta) := \inf_{k} \|\eta^{(k)}\|^{1/k}.$$

A 10

(4) (2) (4)

Spectral radius in the W^* -correspondence setting

Spectral radius

For $\eta\in E^{\sigma},$ define the ${\bf spectral\ radius\ of}\ \eta$ by

$$r(\eta) := \inf_{k} \|\eta^{(k)}\|^{1/k}.$$

Proposition

For $\eta \in E^{\sigma}$, $C(\eta) \in B(H, \mathscr{F}(E) \otimes_{\sigma} H)$ if and only if $r(\eta) < 1$.

- 4 同 2 4 日 2 4 日 2

Spectral radius in the W*-correspondence setting

Spectral radius

For $\eta\in E^{\sigma},$ define the ${\it spectral \ radius}$ of η by

$$r(\eta) := \inf_{k} \|\eta^{(k)}\|^{1/k}.$$

Proposition

For $\eta \in E^{\sigma}$, $C(\eta) \in B(H, \mathscr{F}(E) \otimes_{\sigma} H)$ if and only if $r(\eta) < 1$.

For $\eta \in E^{\sigma}$, $\|\eta\| < 1$ implies $r(\eta) < 1$, but the converse is not true.

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Point evaluation

Define
$$U: \mathscr{F}(E^{\sigma}) \otimes_{\iota} H \to \mathscr{F}(E) \otimes_{\sigma} H$$
 by

$$U(\eta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_k \otimes h) = (I_{E^{\otimes k-1}} \otimes \eta_1) \cdots (I_E \otimes \eta_{k-1}) \eta_k h.$$

Define $\rho: H^{\infty}(E^{\sigma}) \to B(\mathscr{F}(E) \otimes_{\sigma} H)$ by

 $\rho(X) = U(X \otimes I_H)U^*.$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

э

Point evaluation

Define
$$U: \mathscr{F}(E^{\sigma}) \otimes_{\iota} H \to \mathscr{F}(E) \otimes_{\sigma} H$$
 by

$$U(\eta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_k \otimes h) = (I_{E^{\otimes k-1}} \otimes \eta_1) \cdots (I_E \otimes \eta_{k-1}) \eta_k h.$$

Define $ho: H^{\infty}(E^{\sigma})
ightarrow B(\mathscr{F}(E) \otimes_{\sigma} H)$ by

 $\rho(X) = U(X \otimes I_H)U^*.$

Point evaluation

For $X \in H^{\infty}(E^{\sigma})$ and $\eta \in E^{\sigma}$ with $r(\eta) < 1$, define the **point** evaluation $\hat{X}(\eta)$ by

$$\hat{X}(\eta) = C(0)^* \rho(X)^* C(\eta).$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Remarks about the point evaluation

Point evaluation

For $X \in H^{\infty}(E^{\sigma})$ and $\eta \in E^{\sigma}$ with $r(\eta) < 1$, define the **point** evaluation $\hat{X}(\eta)$ by

$$\hat{X}(\eta) = C(0)^* \rho(X)^* C(\eta).$$

•
$$\hat{X}(\eta) \in \sigma(M)'$$

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

Remarks about the point evaluation

Point evaluation

For $X \in H^{\infty}(E^{\sigma})$ and $\eta \in E^{\sigma}$ with $r(\eta) < 1$, define the **point** evaluation $\hat{X}(\eta)$ by

$$\hat{X}(\eta) = C(0)^* \rho(X)^* C(\eta).$$

- $\hat{X}(\eta) \in \sigma(M)'$
- Not multiplicative, i.e., $\widehat{XY}(\eta) \neq \hat{X}(\eta)\hat{Y}(\eta)$

伺下 イヨト イヨト

Remarks about the point evaluation

Point evaluation

For $X \in H^{\infty}(E^{\sigma})$ and $\eta \in E^{\sigma}$ with $r(\eta) < 1$, define the **point** evaluation $\hat{X}(\eta)$ by

$$\hat{X}(\eta) = C(0)^* \rho(X)^* C(\eta).$$

- $\hat{X}(\eta) \in \sigma(M)'$
- Not multiplicative, i.e., $\widehat{XY}(\eta)
 eq \hat{X}(\eta) \hat{Y}(\eta)$
- Induces an algebra antihomomorphism from H[∞](E^σ) into the completely bounded maps on σ(M)'

(4月) (1日) (日)

Muhly-Solel point evaluation

Muhly-Solel point evaluation (Muhly-Solel 2004)

For $Y \in H^{\infty}(E)$ and $\eta \in E^{\sigma}$ with $\|\eta\| < 1$, define the **point** evaluation $\hat{Y}(\eta^*)$ by

$$\hat{Y}(\eta^*)=(\mathit{C}(0)^*(\mathit{Y}^*\otimes \mathit{I}_{\mathit{H}})\mathit{C}(\eta))^*$$
 .

Muhly-Solel point evaluation

Muhly-Solel point evaluation (Muhly-Solel 2004)

For $Y \in H^{\infty}(E)$ and $\eta \in E^{\sigma}$ with $\|\eta\| < 1$, define the **point** evaluation $\hat{Y}(\eta^*)$ by

$$\hat{Y}(\eta^*)=(\mathit{C}(0)^*(\mathit{Y}^*\otimes \mathit{I_H})\mathit{C}(\eta))^*$$
 .

• $\hat{Y}(\eta^*) \in B(H)$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Muhly-Solel point evaluation

Muhly-Solel point evaluation (Muhly-Solel 2004)

For $Y \in H^{\infty}(E)$ and $\eta \in E^{\sigma}$ with $\|\eta\| < 1$, define the **point** evaluation $\hat{Y}(\eta^*)$ by

$$\hat{Y}(\eta^*) = (C(0)^*(Y^* \otimes I_H)C(\eta))^*$$
 .

•
$$\hat{Y}(\eta^*) \in B(H)$$

• Multiplicative, i.e., $\widehat{XY}(\eta^*) = \hat{X}(\eta^*)\hat{Y}(\eta^*)$

伺下 イヨト イヨト

Generalized Nevanlinna-Pick theorem

Theorem (N.)

Let $\mathfrak{z}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{z}_N$ be N distinct elements of E^{σ} with $r(\mathfrak{z}_i) < 1$ for all i, and let $\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_N \in \sigma(M)'$. There exists $X \in H^{\infty}(E^{\sigma})$ with $||X|| \leq 1$ such that

$$\hat{X}(\mathfrak{z}_i) = \Lambda_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

if and only if the operator matrix

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{N}} = \left[C(\mathfrak{z}_i)^* (I_{\mathscr{F}(E)} \otimes (I_H - \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_j)) C(\mathfrak{z}_j) \right]_{i,j=1}^N$$

is positive semidefinite.

Corollary: Classical Nevanlinna-Pick theorem

lf

- $M = E = \mathbb{C}$
- $\sigma: M \to B(\mathbb{C})$ is given by $\sigma(a) = a$

then

• $E^{\sigma} = \mathbb{C}$

•
$$\sigma(M)' = \mathbb{C}$$

• we recover the classical Nevanlinna-Pick theorem

3 N

Corollary: Constantinescu-Johnson's theorem

lf

•
$$M = \mathbb{C}, E = \mathbb{C}^n$$

•
$$\sigma: M o B(H)$$
 is given by $\sigma(a) = aI_H$

then

•
$$E^{\sigma} = C_n(B(H))$$

•
$$\sigma(M)' = B(H)$$

• we recover Constantinescu-Johnson's theorem

Displacement equation

A displacement equation is an equation of the form

$$(I_{B(H)}-\theta)(A)=B,$$

where $A, B \in B(H)$ and $\theta : B(H) \rightarrow B(H)$.

A 10

< ∃ → <

Displacement equation

A displacement equation is an equation of the form

$$(I_{B(H)}-\theta)(A)=B,$$

where $A, B \in B(H)$ and $\theta : B(H) \to B(H)$. Given θ and B, and assuming $(I_{B(H)} - \theta)^{-1}$ exists, solve for A:

$$A=(I_{B(H)}-\theta)^{-1}(B)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\theta^{k}(B).$$

Displacement equation

A displacement equation is an equation of the form

$$(I_{B(H)}-\theta)(A)=B,$$

where $A, B \in B(H)$ and $\theta : B(H) \to B(H)$. Given θ and B, and assuming $(I_{B(H)} - \theta)^{-1}$ exists, solve for A:

$$A=(I_{B(H)}-\theta)^{-1}(B)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\theta^{k}(B).$$

We are interested in the case when θ is completely positive. In this case, $(I_{B(H)} - \theta)^{-1}$ is completely positive as well.

直 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト

Proof of (N.)

Step 1

Let
$$\mathfrak{z} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{z}_1 & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \mathfrak{z}_N \end{bmatrix}$$
, $U = \begin{bmatrix} I_H \\ \vdots \\ I_H \end{bmatrix}$, and $V = \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda_1^* \\ \vdots \\ \Lambda_N^* \end{bmatrix}$. Form the displacement equation

$$(I_{B(H)} - \theta_{\mathfrak{z}})(A) = UU^* - VV^*,$$

where $A \in B(H)$ and $\theta_{\mathfrak{z}}(A) = \mathfrak{z}^*(I_E \otimes A)\mathfrak{z}$.

< 日 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

э

Proof cont.

Step 2

Observe

• The Pick matrix is the unique solution of the displacement equation, i.e.,

$$A = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{N}}$$

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Proof cont.

Step 2

Observe

• The Pick matrix is the unique solution of the displacement equation, i.e.,

$$A = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{N}}$$

• We can rewrite the Pick matrix as $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{N}} = U_{\infty}^{*}U_{\infty} - V_{\infty}^{*}V_{\infty}$, where $U_{\infty} = \begin{bmatrix} C(\mathfrak{z}_{1}) & \cdots & C(\mathfrak{z}_{N}) \end{bmatrix}$ and $V_{\infty} = \begin{bmatrix} (I_{\mathscr{F}(E)} \otimes \Lambda_{1})C(\mathfrak{z}_{1}) & \cdots & (I_{\mathscr{F}(E)} \otimes \Lambda_{N})C(\mathfrak{z}_{N}) \end{bmatrix}$.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Proof cont.

Lemma (Step 3)

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{N}} = U_{\infty}^* U_{\infty} - V_{\infty}^* V_{\infty} \text{ is positive semidefinite if and only if there exists } X \in H^{\infty}(E^{\sigma}) \text{ with } \|X\| \leq 1 \text{ such that } \rho(X)^* U_{\infty} = V_{\infty}.$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Proof cont.

Lemma (Step 3)

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{N}} = U_{\infty}^* U_{\infty} - V_{\infty}^* V_{\infty} \text{ is positive semidefinite if and only if there exists } X \in H^{\infty}(E^{\sigma}) \text{ with } \|X\| \leq 1 \text{ such that } \rho(X)^* U_{\infty} = V_{\infty}.$

Step 4

$$\rho(X)^* U_{\infty} = V_{\infty}$$
 if and only if $\hat{X}(\mathfrak{z}_i) = \Lambda_i$ for all *i*.

Proof of lemma

Lemma

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{N}} = U_{\infty}^* U_{\infty} - V_{\infty}^* V_{\infty}$ is positive semidefinite if and only if there exists $X \in H^{\infty}(E^{\sigma})$ with $||X|| \leq 1$ such that $\rho(X)^* U_{\infty} = V_{\infty}$.

Proof: (\Longrightarrow)

- $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{N}} \geq 0 \implies \exists L \in \sigma^{(N)}(M)'$ such that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{N}} = LL^*$
- Displacement equation becomes $\hat{A}^* \hat{A} = \hat{B}^* \hat{B}$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Proof of lemma cont.

- Douglas's lemma $\implies \exists!$ partial isometry Ω such that
 - $\hat{A} = \Omega \hat{B}$
 - $Inn(\Omega) \subseteq Range(\hat{B})$
- Define matrix T in terms of the entries of Ω so that $TU_{\infty} = V_{\infty}$.
- There exists $X \in H^{\infty}(E^{\sigma})$ with $||X|| \le 1$ such that $T = \rho(X)^*$, and $\rho(X)^*U_{\infty} = V_{\infty}$.

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

Proof of lemma cont.

(\Leftarrow) If there exists $X \in H^{\infty}(E^{\sigma})$ such that $||X|| \leq 1$ and $\rho(X)^* U_{\infty} = V_{\infty}$, then

$$egin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{N}}&=&U_{\infty}^{*}U_{\infty}-V_{\infty}^{*}V_{\infty}\ &=&U_{\infty}^{*}U_{\infty}-U_{\infty}^{*}
ho(X)
ho(X)^{*}U_{\infty}\ &=&U_{\infty}^{*}(I-
ho(X)
ho(X)^{*})U_{\infty}\ &\geq&0 \end{array}$$

since $||X|| \leq 1$ and ρ is an isometry.

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

Popescu's setting

- *M* = ℂ
- $E = \mathbb{C}^n$
- $\sigma: M \to B(H)$ is given by $\sigma(a) = aI_H$
- $E^{\sigma} = C_n(B(H))$
- $\sigma(M)' = B(H)$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Popescu's setting

• *M* = ℂ

•
$$E = \mathbb{C}^n$$

• $\sigma: M \to B(H)$ is given by $\sigma(a) = aI_H$

•
$$E^{\sigma} = C_n(B(H))$$

•
$$\sigma(M)' = B(H)$$

Spectral radius

For
$$\mathfrak{z} = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 & \cdots & Z_n \end{bmatrix} \in B(H)^n$$
, the **spectral radius** of \mathfrak{z} is given by

$$r(\mathfrak{z}) := \inf_{k} \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} Z_{\alpha}(Z_{\alpha})^{*} \right\|^{1/2k}$$

Popescu's setting

• *M* = ℂ

•
$$E = \mathbb{C}^n$$

• $\sigma: M \to B(H)$ is given by $\sigma(a) = aI_H$

•
$$E^{\sigma} = C_n(B(H))$$

•
$$\sigma(M)' = B(H)$$

Spectral radius

For
$$\mathfrak{z} = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 & \cdots & Z_n \end{bmatrix} \in B(H)^n$$
, the **spectral radius** of \mathfrak{z} is given by

$$r(\mathfrak{z}) := \inf_{k} \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} Z_{\alpha}(Z_{\alpha})^{*} \right\|^{1/2k} = \inf_{k} \|\mathfrak{z}^{*(k)}\|^{1/k} = r(\mathfrak{z}^{*}).$$

Popescu's setting cont.

Let S_1, \ldots, S_n be the **left creation operators** on the Fock space of \mathbb{C}^n . For $\Phi \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n) \overline{\otimes} B(H)$, we can write

$$\Phi = \sum_{\alpha \in F_n^+} S_{\alpha} \otimes A_{(\alpha)}, \quad A_{(\alpha)} \in B(H).$$

Point evaluation

Define the **point evaluation** of $\Phi = \sum_{\alpha \in F_n^+} S_\alpha \otimes A_{(\alpha)}$ at $\mathfrak{z} = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 & \cdots & Z_n \end{bmatrix}$ with $r(\mathfrak{z}) < 1$ by

$$\Phi(\mathfrak{z}) := \sum_{\alpha \in F_n^+} Z_{\widetilde{\alpha}} A_{(\alpha)},$$

where $\widetilde{\alpha}$ denotes the reverse of α .

Nontangential version of Popescu's theorem

Theorem (Popescu 2003)

For i = 1, ..., N, let $\mathfrak{z}_i = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{i1} & \cdots & Z_{in} \end{bmatrix} \in B(H)^n$ with $r(\mathfrak{z}_i) < 1$, and let $\Lambda_i \in B(H)$. There exists $\Phi \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n) \overline{\otimes} B(H)$ such that $\|\Phi\| \le 1$ and $\Phi(\mathfrak{z}_i) = \Lambda_i, \quad i = 1, ..., N.$

if and only if the operator matrix

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{P}} = \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{|lpha|=k}Z_{ilpha}(I_{H} - \Lambda_{i}\Lambda_{j}^{*})(Z_{jlpha})^{*}
ight]_{i,j=1}^{N}$$

is positive semidefinite.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Proof via the displacement equation

Given the data in Popescu's theorem, (N.) implies that there exists X ∈ H[∞](E^σ) such that ||X|| ≤ 1 and X̂(𝔅^{*}_i) = Λ^{*}_i for all i if and only if the Pick matrix A_N is positive semidefinite.

Proof via the displacement equation

- Given the data in Popescu's theorem, (N.) implies that there exists X ∈ H[∞](E^σ) such that ||X|| ≤ 1 and X̂(𝔅^{*}_i) = Λ^{*}_i for all i if and only if the Pick matrix A_N is positive semidefinite.
- **2** The Pick matrices $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{N}}$ are equal.

Proof via the displacement equation

- Given the data in Popescu's theorem, (N.) implies that there exists X ∈ H[∞](E^σ) such that ||X|| ≤ 1 and X̂(𝔅^{*}_i) = Λ^{*}_i for all i if and only if the Pick matrix A_N is positive semidefinite.
- **2** The Pick matrices $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{N}}$ are equal.
- There exists X ∈ H[∞](E^σ) such that ||X|| ≤ 1 and X̂(𝔅^{*}_i) = Λ^{*}_i if and only if there exists Φ ∈ H[∞](ℂⁿ)⊗B(H) such that ||Φ|| ≤ 1 and Φ(𝔅_i) = Λ_i.

Proof via the displacement equation

- Given the data in Popescu's theorem, (N.) implies that there exists X ∈ H[∞](E^σ) such that ||X|| ≤ 1 and X̂(𝔅^{*}_i) = Λ^{*}_i for all i if and only if the Pick matrix A_N is positive semidefinite.
- **2** The Pick matrices $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{N}}$ are equal.
- There exists $X \in H^{\infty}(E^{\sigma})$ such that $||X|| \leq 1$ and $\hat{X}(\mathfrak{z}_{i}^{*}) = \Lambda_{i}^{*}$ if and only if there exists $\Phi \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^{n}) \overline{\otimes} B(H)$ such that $||\Phi|| \leq 1$ and $\Phi(\mathfrak{z}_{i}) = \Lambda_{i}$. Hint: $\Phi = (J \otimes I_{H})\rho(X)(J \otimes I_{H})$, where $J : \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{C}^{n}) \to \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{C}^{n})$ is given by $J(e_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{k}}) = e_{i_{k}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{1}}$.

- A 🖻 🕨 - A

Muhly-Solel's theorem

Theorem (Muhly-Solel 2004)

Let $\mathfrak{z}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{z}_N$ be N distinct points of E^{σ} with $\|\mathfrak{z}_i\| < 1$ for all i, and let $\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_N \in B(H)$. There exists $Y \in H^{\infty}(E)$ with $\|Y\| \leq 1$ such that

$$\hat{Y}(\mathfrak{z}_i^*) = \Lambda_i^*, \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

if and only if the map from $M_N(\sigma(M)')$ to $M_N(B(H))$ defined by

$$B\mapsto (I-\Psi_{\Lambda})\circ (I- heta_{\mathfrak{z}})^{-1}(B)$$

is completely positive, where $\Lambda = diag[\Lambda_i]$, $\mathfrak{z} = diag[\mathfrak{z}_i]$, $\Psi_{\Lambda}(C) = \Lambda^* C \Lambda$, and $\theta_{\mathfrak{z}}(C) = \mathfrak{z}^* (I_E \otimes C)\mathfrak{z}$ for all $C \in M_N(\sigma(M)')$.

4 B b 4 B

Muhly-Solel's theorem

Theorem (Muhly-Solel 2004)

Let $\mathfrak{z}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{z}_N$ be N distinct points of E^{σ} with $\|\mathfrak{z}_i\| < 1$ for all i, and let $\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_N \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. There exists $Y \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}(\mathcal{E})$ with $\|Y\| \leq 1$ such that

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{Y}}(\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{z}}_{i}^{*}) = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{i}^{*}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N,$$

if and only if the map from $M_N(\sigma(M)')$ to $M_N(B(H))$ defined by

$$B\mapsto (I-\Psi_{\Lambda})\circ (I-\theta_{\mathfrak{z}})^{-1}(B)$$

is completely positive, where $\Lambda = diag[\Lambda_i]$, $\mathfrak{z} = diag[\mathfrak{z}_i]$, $\Psi_{\Lambda}(C) = \Lambda^* C \Lambda$, and $\theta_{\mathfrak{z}}(C) = \mathfrak{z}^* (I_E \otimes C)\mathfrak{z}$ for all $C \in M_N(\sigma(M)')$.

4 E 6 4 E 6

An implication

Suppose the Muhly-Solel Pick matrix map $(I - \Psi_{\Lambda}) \circ (I - \theta_{\mathfrak{z}})^{-1}$ is completely positive.

同 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

An implication

Suppose the Muhly-Solel Pick matrix map $(I - \Psi_{\Lambda}) \circ (I - \theta_{\mathfrak{z}})^{-1}$ is completely positive.

 $\implies (I - \theta_{\mathfrak{z}})^{-1} \circ (I - \Psi_{\Lambda})$ is completely positive.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

An implication

Suppose the Muhly-Solel Pick matrix map $(I - \Psi_{\Lambda}) \circ (I - \theta_{\mathfrak{z}})^{-1}$ is completely positive.

$$\implies (I - \theta_{\mathfrak{z}})^{-1} \circ (I - \Psi_{\Lambda}) \text{ is completely positive} \\ \implies \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{N}} = (I - \theta_{\mathfrak{z}})^{-1} (UU^* - VV^*)$$

同 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

An implication

Suppose the Muhly-Solel Pick matrix map $(I - \Psi_{\Lambda}) \circ (I - \theta_{\mathfrak{z}})^{-1}$ is completely positive.

$$\implies (I - \theta_{\mathfrak{z}})^{-1} \circ (I - \Psi_{\Lambda}) \text{ is completely positive.} \\ \implies \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{N}} = (I - \theta_{\mathfrak{z}})^{-1} (UU^* - VV^*) \\ = (I - \theta_{\mathfrak{z}})^{-1} \circ (I - \Psi_{\Lambda}) \left(\begin{bmatrix} I_{H} & \cdots & I_{H} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ I_{H} & \cdots & I_{H} \end{bmatrix} \right) \ge 0.$$

同 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

An implication

Suppose the Muhly-Solel Pick matrix map $(I - \Psi_{\Lambda}) \circ (I - \theta_{\mathfrak{z}})^{-1}$ is completely positive.

$$\begin{array}{l} \Longrightarrow \ (I - \theta_{\mathfrak{z}})^{-1} \circ (I - \Psi_{\Lambda}) \text{ is completely positive.} \\ \Longrightarrow \ \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{N}} = (I - \theta_{\mathfrak{z}})^{-1} (UU^{*} - VV^{*}) \\ = (I - \theta_{\mathfrak{z}})^{-1} \circ (I - \Psi_{\Lambda}) \left(\begin{bmatrix} I_{H} & \cdots & I_{H} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ I_{H} & \cdots & I_{H} \end{bmatrix} \right) \geq 0. \end{array}$$

Moral: Interpolation in the sense of Muhly-Solel's theorem implies interpolation in the sense of (N.). However, a simple example shows that the converse is not true.

伺下 イヨト イヨト

Comparison theorem

Theorem (N. 2017)

Let $\mathfrak{z}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{z}_N$ be N distinct elements of $\mathfrak{Z}(E^{\sigma})$ with $\|\mathfrak{z}_i\| < 1$ for all i, and let $\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_N \in \mathfrak{Z}(\sigma(M)')$.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

э

Comparison theorem

Theorem (N. 2017)

Let $\mathfrak{z}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{z}_N$ be N distinct elements of $\mathfrak{Z}(E^{\sigma})$ with $\|\mathfrak{z}_i\| < 1$ for all i, and let $\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_N \in \mathfrak{Z}(\sigma(M)')$. The following are equivalent:

• There exists $Y \in H^{\infty}(\mathfrak{Z}(E))$ with $||Y|| \leq 1$ such that

$$\hat{Y}(\mathfrak{z}_i^*) = \Lambda_i^*, \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

in the sense of (Muhly-Solel 2004).

2 There exists $X \in H^{\infty}(\mathfrak{Z}(E^{\sigma}))$ with $||X|| \leq 1$ such that

$$\hat{X}(\mathfrak{z}_i) = \Lambda_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

in the sense of (N_{\cdot}) .

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Definitions

Center of a W^* -correspondence

$$\mathfrak{Z}(E) := \{\xi \in E \mid a \cdot \xi = \xi \cdot a \quad \forall a \in M\}$$

If (M, E) is a W^* -correspondence, then $(\mathfrak{Z}(M), \mathfrak{Z}(E))$ is a W^* -correspondence.

(人間) ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

Definitions

Center of a W^* -correspondence

$$\mathfrak{Z}(E) := \{\xi \in E \mid a \cdot \xi = \xi \cdot a \quad \forall a \in M\}$$

If (M, E) is a W^* -correspondence, then $(\mathfrak{Z}(M), \mathfrak{Z}(E))$ is a W^* -correspondence.

Isomorphism of W^* -correspondences

An **isomorphism** from (M_1, E_1) to (M_2, E_2) is a pair (σ, Ψ) such that

- $\sigma: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is an isomorphism of W^* -algebras
- $\Psi: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ is a vector space isomorphism

• for all $e, f \in E_1$ and $a, b \in M_1$, $\Psi(a \cdot e \cdot b) = \sigma(a) \cdot \Psi(e) \cdot \sigma(b)$ and $\langle \Psi(e), \Psi(f) \rangle = \sigma(\langle e, f \rangle)$.

Isomorphic centers

Define $\gamma : \mathfrak{Z}(E) \to \mathfrak{Z}(E^{\sigma})$ by $\gamma(\xi) = L_{\xi}$, where $L_{\xi} : H \to E \otimes_{\sigma} H$ is given by $L_{\xi}(h) = \xi \otimes h$.

Proposition (Muhly-Solel 2008)

The pair (σ, γ) is an isomorphism of $(\mathfrak{Z}(M), \mathfrak{Z}(E))$ onto $(\mathfrak{Z}(\sigma(M)'), \mathfrak{Z}(E^{\sigma}))$.

Isomorphic centers

Define $\gamma : \mathfrak{Z}(E) \to \mathfrak{Z}(E^{\sigma})$ by $\gamma(\xi) = L_{\xi}$, where $L_{\xi} : H \to E \otimes_{\sigma} H$ is given by $L_{\xi}(h) = \xi \otimes h$.

Proposition (Muhly-Solel 2008)

The pair (σ, γ) is an isomorphism of $(\mathfrak{Z}(M), \mathfrak{Z}(E))$ onto $(\mathfrak{Z}(\sigma(M)'), \mathfrak{Z}(E^{\sigma}))$.

Proposition

The map defined on the generators of $H^{\infty}(\mathfrak{Z}(E))$ by

$$egin{array}{rcl} T_{\xi} &\mapsto & T_{\gamma(\xi)}, & \xi\in\mathfrak{Z}(E) \ arphi_{\infty}(a) &\mapsto & arphi_{\infty}^{\sigma}(\sigma(a)), & a\in\mathfrak{Z}(M) \end{array}$$

extends to an isomorphism Γ from $H^{\infty}(\mathfrak{Z}(E))$ onto $H^{\infty}(\mathfrak{Z}(E^{\sigma}))$.

Comparison theorem revisited

Theorem (N. 2017)

Let $\mathfrak{z}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{z}_N$ be N distinct elements of $\mathfrak{Z}(E^{\sigma})$ with $\|\mathfrak{z}_i\| < 1$ for all i, and let $\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_N \in \mathfrak{Z}(\sigma(M)')$. The following are equivalent:

• There exists $Y \in H^{\infty}(\mathfrak{Z}(E))$ with $||Y|| \leq 1$ such that

$$\hat{Y}(\mathfrak{z}_i^*) = \Lambda_i^*, \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

in the sense of (Muhly-Solel 2004).

2 There exists $X = \Gamma(Y) \in H^{\infty}(\mathfrak{Z}(E^{\sigma}))$ with $||X|| \leq 1$ such that

$$\hat{X}(\mathfrak{z}_i) = \Lambda_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

in the sense of (N_{\cdot}) .

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Reflections on the displacement equation approach

The displacement equation approach

- avoids commutant lifting.
- can be used to recover the nontangential version of Popescu's theorem.
- does not capture all the information in Muhly-Solel's theorem.
- does not extend well to left-tangential Nevanlinna-Pick theorems.

References I

- J. Agler and J. McCarthy, *Pick Interpolation and Hilbert Function Spaces*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2002).
- T. Constantinescu and J. L. Johnson, A note on noncommutative interpolation, Canad. Math. Bull. 46 (1) (2003), 59-70.
- R. Douglas, On majorization, factorization, and range inclusion of operators on Hilbert space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1966), 413-415.
- J. Good, Interpolation and commutant lifting with weights, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory **87** (2017), 349-389.

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨト 4 ヨト

References II

- P. Muhly and B. Solel, *Hardy algebras, W*^{*}-correspondences and interpolation theory, Math. Ann. **330** (2004), 353-415.
- P. Muhly and B. Solel, *The Poisson kernel for Hardy algebras*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **3** (2009), 221-242.
- R. Norton, Comparing two generalized noncommutative Nevanlinna-Pick theorems, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory 11 (2017), 875-894.
- G. Popescu, *Multivariable Nehari problem and interpolation*, J. Funct. Anal. **200** (2003), 536-581.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ