

Bergman inner functions and wandering subspaces

Jörg Eschmeier

Saarland University

Multivariable Operator Theory at the Technion
On the occasion of Baruch Solel's 65th birthday
June 18-22, 2017

Contractions

Let $T \in L(H)^n$ be a commuting tuple

T is a **row contraction** if $H^n \xrightarrow{(T_1, \dots, T_n)} H$ is a contraction

$$\Leftrightarrow 1_H - TT^* = 1_H - \sum_{i=1}^n T_i T_i^* \geq 0,$$

or equivalently, if

$$\Leftrightarrow (I - \sigma_T)(1_H) \geq 0,$$

where $\sigma_T : L(H) \rightarrow L(H)$, $X \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n T_i X T_i^*$.

Standard example: M_z on the functional Hilbert space $H(\mathbb{B})$ with kernel

$$K : \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, K(z, w) = \frac{1}{1 - \langle z, w \rangle}$$

Contractions

Let $T \in L(H)^n$ be a commuting tuple

T is a **row contraction** if $H^n \xrightarrow{(T_1, \dots, T_n)} H$ is a contraction

$$\Leftrightarrow 1_H - TT^* = 1_H - \sum_{i=1}^n T_i T_i^* \geq 0,$$

or equivalently, if

$$\Leftrightarrow (I - \sigma_T)(1_H) \geq 0,$$

where $\sigma_T : L(H) \rightarrow L(H)$, $X \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n T_i X T_i^*$.

Standard example: M_z on the functional Hilbert space $H(\mathbb{B})$ with kernel

$$K : \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, K(z, w) = \frac{1}{1 - \langle z, w \rangle}$$

Dilations

For a **row contraction** $T \in L(H)^n$, define

$$D_{T^*} = (1_H - TT^*)^{1/2}, \mathcal{D} = \overline{D_{T^*}H}$$

Theorem (Müller-Vasilescu, Arveson)

$T \in L(H)^n$ is a row contraction iff

$$T \cong P_{M^\perp} (M_z \oplus U)|_{M^\perp}$$

with $M \in \text{Lat}(M_z \oplus U, H(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D}) \oplus K)$ and $U \in L(K)$ is a spherical unitary.

The **unitary part** $U \in L(K)^n$ does not occur iff T is **pure** ($\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{C}_0$), that is, if

$$\text{SOT} - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_T^k(1_H) = 0,$$

where again $\sigma_T(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i X T_i^*$.

Dilations

For a **row contraction** $T \in L(H)^n$, define

$$D_{T^*} = (1_H - TT^*)^{1/2}, \mathcal{D} = \overline{D_{T^*}H}$$

Theorem (Müller-Vasilescu, Arveson)

$T \in L(H)^n$ is a row contraction iff

$$T \cong P_{M^\perp} (M_Z \oplus U)|_{M^\perp}$$

with $M \in \text{Lat}(M_Z \oplus U, H(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D}) \oplus K)$ and $U \in L(K)$ is a spherical unitary.

The **unitary part** $U \in L(K)^n$ does not occur iff T is **pure** ($\Leftrightarrow C_0$), that is, if

$$\text{SOT} - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_T^k(1_H) = 0,$$

where again $\sigma_T(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i X T_i^*$.

m-hypercontractions

What happens if $H(\mathbb{B})$ is replaced by the **functional Hilbert space** $H_m(\mathbb{B})$ with kernel

$$K_m : \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, K_m(z, w) = \frac{1}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^m}?$$

Then even all **defect operators**

$$\Delta_{M_z}^{(k)} = (I - \sigma_{M_z})^k (1_{H_m(\mathbb{B})}) \geq 0 \quad (k = 0, \dots, m)$$

are positive.

Definition

$T \in L(H)^n$ is called an **m-hypercontraction** if

$$\Delta_T^{(k)} = (I - \sigma_T)^k (1_H) \geq 0 \quad (k = 0, \dots, m).$$

m-hypercontractions

What happens if $H(\mathbb{B})$ is replaced by the **functional Hilbert space** $H_m(\mathbb{B})$ with kernel

$$K_m : \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, K_m(z, w) = \frac{1}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^m}?$$

Then even all **defect operators**

$$\Delta_{M_z}^{(k)} = (I - \sigma_{M_z})^k (1_{H_m(\mathbb{B})}) \geq 0 \quad (k = 0, \dots, m)$$

are positive.

Definition

$T \in L(H)^n$ is called an **m-hypercontraction** if

$$\Delta_T^{(k)} = (I - \sigma_T)^k (1_H) \geq 0 \quad (k = 0, \dots, m).$$

m-hypercontractions

What happens if $H(\mathbb{B})$ is replaced by the **functional Hilbert space** $H_m(\mathbb{B})$ with kernel

$$K_m : \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, K_m(z, w) = \frac{1}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^m}?$$

Then even all **defect operators**

$$\Delta_{M_z}^{(k)} = (I - \sigma_{M_z})^k (1_{H_m(\mathbb{B})}) \geq 0 \quad (k = 0, \dots, m)$$

are positive.

Definition

$T \in L(H)^n$ is called an **m -hypercontraction** if

$$\Delta_T^{(k)} = (I - \sigma_T)^k (1_H) \geq 0 \quad (k = 0, \dots, m).$$

Dilations

For an *m-hypercontraction*, $T \in L(H)^n$ define $C = (\Delta_T^{(m)})^{1/2}$ and $\mathcal{D} = \overline{CH}$.

Theorem (Müller-Vasilescu'93)

$T \in L(H)^n$ is an *m-hypercontraction* iff

$$T \cong P_{M^\perp} (M_Z \oplus U)|_{M^\perp}$$

with $M \in \text{Lat}(M_Z \oplus U, H_m(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D}) \oplus K)$ and a spherical unitary U .

The *unitary part* $U \in L(K)^n$ does not occur iff T is *pure* ($\Leftrightarrow C_0$), that is,

$$\text{SOT} - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_T^k(1_H) = 0.$$

In this case: $j_T : (H, T^*) \rightarrow (H_m(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D}), M_Z^*)$,

$$j_T x = C(1_H - ZT^*)^{-m} x \quad \text{is an isometric intertwiner}$$

Dilations

For an *m-hypercontraction*, $T \in L(H)^n$ define $C = (\Delta_T^{(m)})^{1/2}$ and $\mathcal{D} = \overline{CH}$.

Theorem (Müller-Vasilescu'93)

$T \in L(H)^n$ is an *m-hypercontraction* iff

$$T \cong P_{M^\perp} (M_z \oplus U)|_{M^\perp}$$

with $M \in \text{Lat}(M_z \oplus U, H_m(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D}) \oplus K)$ and a spherical unitary U .

The *unitary part* $U \in L(K)^n$ does not occur iff T is *pure* ($\Leftrightarrow C_0$), that is,

$$\text{SOT} - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_T^k(1_H) = 0.$$

In this case: $j_T : (H, T^*) \rightarrow (H_m(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D}), M_z^*)$,

$j_T x = C(1_H - ZT^*)^{-m} x$ is an isometric intertwiner

Dilations

For an *m-hypercontraction*, $T \in L(H)^n$ define $C = (\Delta_T^{(m)})^{1/2}$ and $\mathcal{D} = \overline{CH}$.

Theorem (Müller-Vasilescu'93)

$T \in L(H)^n$ is an *m-hypercontraction* iff

$$T \cong P_{M^\perp} (M_Z \oplus U)|_{M^\perp}$$

with $M \in \text{Lat}(M_Z \oplus U, H_m(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D}) \oplus K)$ and a spherical unitary U .

The *unitary part* $U \in L(K)^n$ does not occur iff T is *pure* ($\Leftrightarrow C_0$), that is,

$$\text{SOT} - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_T^k(1_H) = 0.$$

In this case: $j_T : (H, T^*) \rightarrow (H_m(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D}), M_Z^*)$,

$$j_T x = C(1_H - ZT^*)^{-m} x \quad \text{is an isometric intertwiner}$$

Wandering subspaces

A closed subspace $\mathcal{W} \subset H$ is **wandering** for $T \in L(H)_{com}^n$ if (Halmos 1961: $n = 1$)

$$\mathcal{W} \perp T^\alpha \mathcal{W} \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

Basic properties:

- ① $M \in \text{Lat}(T) \Rightarrow W_T(M) = M \ominus \sum_{i=1}^n T_i M \in \text{Wand}(T)$
- ② $M = \bigvee T^\alpha \{x_1, \dots, x_l\} \Rightarrow \dim W_T(M) \leq l$
- ③ If $\mathcal{W} \in \text{Wand}(T)$, $M = \bigvee T^\alpha \mathcal{W} \Rightarrow \mathcal{W} = W_T(M)$ and
 $T|_M$ is N -cyclic if $N = \dim \mathcal{W} < \infty$.

Theorem (Beurling's theorem)

If $M \in \text{Lat}(M_z, H^2(\mathbb{D}))$, then

- (i) $W_{M_z}(M) = \mathbb{C}\theta$ for some inner function $\theta \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$
- (ii) $M = \bigvee_k M_z^k W_{M_z}(M) = \theta H^2(\mathbb{D})$ (Wandering subspace property)

Wandering subspaces

A closed subspace $\mathcal{W} \subset H$ is **wandering** for $T \in L(H)_{com}^n$ if (Halmos 1961: $n = 1$)

$$\mathcal{W} \perp T^\alpha \mathcal{W} \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

Basic properties:

- ① $M \in \text{Lat}(T) \Rightarrow W_T(M) = M \ominus \sum_{i=1}^n T_i M \in \text{Wand}(T)$
- ② $M = \bigvee T^\alpha \{x_1, \dots, x_l\} \Rightarrow \dim W_T(M) \leq l$
- ③ If $\mathcal{W} \in \text{Wand}(T)$, $M = \bigvee T^\alpha \mathcal{W} \Rightarrow \mathcal{W} = W_T(M)$ and
 $T|_M$ is N -cyclic if $N = \dim \mathcal{W} < \infty$.

Theorem (Beurling's theorem)

If $M \in \text{Lat}(M_z, H^2(\mathbb{D}))$, then

- (i) $W_{M_z}(M) = \mathbb{C}\theta$ for some inner function $\theta \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$
- (ii) $M = \bigvee_k M_z^k W_{M_z}(M) = \theta H^2(\mathbb{D})$ (Wandering subspace property)

Wandering subspaces

A closed subspace $\mathcal{W} \subset H$ is **wandering** for $T \in L(H)_{com}^n$ if (Halmos 1961: $n = 1$)

$$\mathcal{W} \perp T^\alpha \mathcal{W} \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

Basic properties:

- ① $M \in \text{Lat}(T) \Rightarrow W_T(M) = M \ominus \sum_{i=1}^n T_i M \in \text{Wand}(T)$
- ② $M = \bigvee T^\alpha \{x_1, \dots, x_l\} \Rightarrow \dim W_T(M) \leq l$
- ③ If $\mathcal{W} \in \text{Wand}(T)$, $M = \bigvee T^\alpha \mathcal{W} \Rightarrow \mathcal{W} = W_T(M)$ and
 $T|_M$ is N -cyclic if $N = \dim \mathcal{W} < \infty$.

Theorem (Beurling's theorem)

If $M \in \text{Lat}(M_z, H^2(\mathbb{D}))$, then

- (i) $W_{M_z}(M) = \mathbb{C}\theta$ for some inner function $\theta \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$
- (ii) $M = \bigvee_k M_z^k W_{M_z}(M) = \theta H^2(\mathbb{D})$ (Wandering subspace property)

Generalized Bergman spaces

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_m(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D}) &= H\left(\frac{1_{\mathcal{D}}}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^m}\right) \\
 &= \left\{ f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} f_{\alpha} z^{\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D}); \|f\|^2 = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{\|f_{\alpha}\|^2}{\rho_m(\alpha)} < \infty \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Particular cases:

$$H_1(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D}) = \text{Drury-Arveson space} \stackrel{n=1}{=} H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{D})$$

$$H_n(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D}) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D}); \|f\|^2 = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_S \|f(r\xi)\|^2 d\xi < \infty \right\} \text{ Hardy space}$$

$$H_{n+1}(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D}) = L_a^2(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D}) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D}); \int_{\mathbb{B}} \|f\|^2 dz < \infty \right\} \text{ Bergman space}$$

$$H_{n+k}(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D}) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D}); \int_{\mathbb{B}} \|f\|^2 (1 - |z|^2)^k dz < \infty \right\}$$

Wandering subspace property and index

The index of $M \in \text{Lat}(T)$ is defined as

$$\text{ind}(M) = \dim W_T(M) = \dim M \ominus \left(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i M \right)$$

	Wandering subspace property	$\text{ind}(M) < \infty \forall M \in \text{Lat}(M_Z)$
$H^2(\mathbb{D})$	Yes: Beurling	Yes: Beurling
$L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$	Yes: Aleman-Richter-Sundberg	No: Scott Brown, Hedenmalm
$H_m(\mathbb{D})$	Yes ($1 \leq m \leq 3$): Hedenmalm, Shimorin No ($m \geq 6$): Hedenmalm	No!

What happens in the multivariable case $n \geq 2$?

Candidate for best possible results: $H_1(\mathbb{B}) = H\left(\frac{1}{1-\langle z, w \rangle}\right)$

- Beurling-Lax-Halmos thm.: [McCullough-Trent](#)
- Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation: [Ball-Bolotnikov, E.-Putinar](#)
- Dilation theory: [Müller-Vasilescu, Arveson, Davidson](#)
- Non-commutative (Fock space) versions: [Popescu](#)

However:

- $\exists M \in \text{Lat}(M_Z, H_1(\mathbb{B}))$ with $\text{ind}(M) = \infty$ ([Green-Richter-Sundberg](#))
- $M_a = \{f \in H_1(\mathbb{B}); f(a) = 0\} \Rightarrow W_{M_Z}(M_a) = \mathbb{C}\theta_a$ for $a \neq 0$

$$\Rightarrow Z(M_a) = \{a\} \neq Z(\theta_a) = Z([W_{M_Z}(M_a)]).$$

What happens in the multivariable case $n \geq 2$?

Candidate for best possible results: $H_1(\mathbb{B}) = H\left(\frac{1}{1-\langle z, w \rangle}\right)$

- Beurling-Lax-Halmos thm.: [McCullough-Trent](#)
- Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation: [Ball-Bolotnikov, E.-Putinar](#)
- Dilation theory: [Müller-Vasilescu, Arveson, Davidson](#)
- Non-commutative (Fock space) versions: [Popescu](#)

However:

- $\exists M \in \text{Lat}(M_Z, H_1(\mathbb{B}))$ with $\text{ind}(M) = \infty$ ([Green-Richter-Sundberg](#))
- $M_a = \{f \in H_1(\mathbb{B}); f(a) = 0\} \Rightarrow W_{M_Z}(M_a) = \mathbb{C}\theta_a$ for $a \neq 0$
 $\Rightarrow Z(M_a) = \{a\} \neq Z(\theta_a) = Z([W_{M_Z}(M_a)])$.

Homogeneous wandering subspace property

Suppose that H has an orthogonal decomposition

$$H = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} H_k$$

and that $T \in L(H)_{\text{com}}^n$ is **homogeneous**, that is,

$$T_i H_k \subset H_{k+1} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n, k \geq 0).$$

A closed subspace $M \subset H$ is called **homogeneous** if

$$M = \bigvee_{k \geq 0} M \cap H_k \quad (\Leftrightarrow P_{H_k} M \subset M \quad \forall k)$$

T has the **homogeneous wandering subspace property** if

$$M = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} T^\alpha W_T(M) \quad \forall M \in \text{Lat}_{\text{hom}}(T)$$

Homogeneous wandering subspace property

Suppose that H has an orthogonal decomposition

$$H = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} H_k$$

and that $T \in L(H)_{\text{com}}^n$ is **homogeneous**, that is,

$$T_i H_k \subset H_{k+1} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n, k \geq 0).$$

A closed subspace $M \subset H$ is called **homogeneous** if

$$M = \bigvee_{k \geq 0} M \cap H_k \quad (\Leftrightarrow P_{H_k} M \subset M \quad \forall k)$$

T has the **homogeneous wandering subspace property** if

$$M = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} T^\alpha W_T(M) \quad \forall M \in \text{Lat}_{\text{hom}}(T)$$

Homogeneous wandering subspace property

Suppose that H has an orthogonal decomposition

$$H = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} H_k$$

and that $T \in L(H)_{\text{com}}^n$ is **homogeneous**, that is,

$$T_i H_k \subset H_{k+1} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n, k \geq 0).$$

A closed subspace $M \subset H$ is called **homogeneous** if

$$M = \bigvee_{k \geq 0} M \cap H_k \quad (\Leftrightarrow P_{H_k} M \subset M \quad \forall k)$$

T has the **homogeneous wandering subspace property** if

$$M = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} T^\alpha W_T(M) \quad \forall M \in \text{Lat}_{\text{hom}}(T)$$

The homogeneous world is OK

Let $T \in L(H)^n$ be homogeneous.

Theorem

T has the homogeneous wandering subspace property and

$$\text{Wand}_{\text{hom}}(T) \rightarrow \text{Lat}_{\text{hom}}(T), \mathcal{W} \mapsto \bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} T^\alpha \mathcal{W},$$

$$\text{Lat}_{\text{hom}}(T) \rightarrow \text{Wand}_{\text{hom}}(T), M \mapsto W_T(M) = M \ominus \left(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i M \right)$$

are bijections that are inverse to each other.

Main Ideas: Show that $W_T(H)$ is homogeneous with components

$$W_T(H) \cap H_k = H_k \ominus \left(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i H_{k-1} \right)$$

and show by induction that $H_k \subset [W_T(H)]$ using

$$H_k = \overline{(W_T(H) \cap H_k) \oplus \left(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i H_{k-1} \right)} \subset W_T(H) + [H_{k-1}].$$

The homogeneous world is OK

Let $T \in L(H)^n$ be homogeneous.

Theorem

T has the homogeneous wandering subspace property and

$$\text{Wand}_{\text{hom}}(T) \rightarrow \text{Lat}_{\text{hom}}(T), \mathcal{W} \mapsto \bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} T^\alpha \mathcal{W},$$

$$\text{Lat}_{\text{hom}}(T) \rightarrow \text{Wand}_{\text{hom}}(T), M \mapsto W_T(M) = M \ominus \left(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i M \right)$$

are bijections that are inverse to each other.

Main Ideas: Show that $W_T(H)$ is homogeneous with components

$$W_T(H) \cap H_k = H_k \ominus \left(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i H_{k-1} \right)$$

and show by induction that $H_k \subset [W_T(H)]$ using

$$H_k = \overline{(W_T(H) \cap H_k) \oplus \left(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i H_{k-1} \right)} \subset W_T(H) + [H_{k-1}].$$

What about the index

Suppose in addition that

$$\dim H_0 < \infty \text{ and } H = \bigvee (T^\alpha H_0; \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n).$$

Then

- 1 $H_k = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} T^\alpha H_0$ ($k \geq 0$)
- 2 $\tilde{H} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H_k$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{C}[z]$ -module ($p_x = p(T)x$).

Corollary ($\mathcal{W} \in \text{Wand}_{\text{hom}}(T)$, $M \in \text{Lat}_{\text{hom}}(T)$)

- $\dim \mathcal{W} < \infty$
- $M = [W_T(M)]$, $N := \text{ind}(M) = \dim W_T(M) < \infty$ $T|_M$ N -cyclic
- each basis of $W_T(M)$ generates \tilde{M} as a $\mathbb{C}[z]$ -module.

Proof. $\tilde{M} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} M_k$ is finitely generated as a $\mathbb{C}[z]$ -submodule $\tilde{M} \subset \tilde{H}$ and

$$M = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} T^\alpha \{x_1, \dots, x_\ell\} \text{ for any set of generators } (\Rightarrow \dim W_T(M) \leq \ell).$$

What about the index

Suppose in addition that

$$\dim H_0 < \infty \text{ and } H = \bigvee (T^\alpha H_0; \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n).$$

Then

- ① $H_k = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} T^\alpha H_0$ ($k \geq 0$)
- ② $\tilde{H} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H_k$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{C}[z]$ -module ($p_x = p(T)x$).

Corollary ($\mathcal{W} \in \text{Wand}_{\text{hom}}(T)$, $M \in \text{Lat}_{\text{hom}}(T)$)

- $\dim \mathcal{W} < \infty$
- $M = [W_T(M)]$, $N := \text{ind}(M) = \dim W_T(M) < \infty$ $T|_M$ N -cyclic
- each basis of $W_T(M)$ generates \tilde{M} as a $\mathbb{C}[z]$ -module.

Proof. $\tilde{M} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} M_k$ is finitely generated as a $\mathbb{C}[z]$ -submodule $\tilde{M} \subset \tilde{H}$ and

$$M = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} T^\alpha \{x_1, \dots, x_\ell\} \text{ for any set of generators } (\Rightarrow \dim W_T(M) \leq \ell).$$

Applications

Corollary

Let $M \in \text{Lat}_{\text{hom}}(T)$, $N = \dim W_T(M)$

(a) $\rho : \tilde{M} / \sum_{i=1}^n T_i \tilde{M} \rightarrow M / \overline{\sum_{i=1}^n T_i M} \cong W_T(M)$, $[x] \mapsto [x]$ is an isomorphism.

(b) $x_i \in M_{k_i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq N$) generators for $\tilde{M} \Rightarrow \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$

$\rho(\{[x_i]; k_i = k\})$ is a basis of $W_T(M) \cap H_k$.

Corollary

$I \subset \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ homogeneous ideal, $M = \bar{I} \subset H_m(\mathbb{B})$

(a) $\mathcal{W} = M \ominus (\sum_{i=1}^n z_i M) = I \ominus \sum_{i=1}^n z_i I \subset I$

(b) each basis of \mathcal{W} is a **minimal set of generators** for I

(c) homogeneous generators of degree $k \hat{=}$ basis of $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathbb{H}_k \forall k$.

K_m -inner functions

Hedenmalm'91: $f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ is **Bergman inner** if

$$(*) \quad \int_{\mathbb{D}} (|f(z)|^2 - 1) z^k dz = 0 \quad \forall k \geq 0.$$

Theorem (Hedenmalm '91, Zhu '96)

If f is Bergman inner, then

- (a) $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$, $g \mapsto fg$ is a contractive multiplier
- (b) $|f(z)|^2 \leq 1/(1 - |z|^2) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D}$

(*) $\Leftrightarrow W_f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow L(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}$, $z \mapsto M_{f(z)}$ satisfies

- (i) $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$, $\alpha \mapsto W_f \alpha$ is an isometry
- (ii) $W_f \mathbb{C} \perp z^k (W_f \mathbb{C}) \quad \forall k \geq 1$

K_m -inner functions

Hedenmalm'91: $f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ is **Bergman inner** if

$$(*) \quad \int_{\mathbb{D}} (|f(z)|^2 - 1) z^k dz = 0 \quad \forall k \geq 0.$$

Theorem (Hedenmalm '91, Zhu '96)

If f is Bergman inner, then

- (a) $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$, $g \mapsto fg$ is a contractive multiplier
- (b) $|f(z)|^2 \leq 1/(1 - |z|^2) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D}$

(*) $\Leftrightarrow W_f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow L(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}$, $z \mapsto M_{f(z)}$ satisfies

- (i) $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$, $\alpha \mapsto W_f \alpha$ is an isometry
- (ii) $W_f \mathbb{C} \perp z^k (W_f \mathbb{C}) \quad \forall k \geq 1$

Parametrization of wandering subspaces

Let $\mathcal{E}_*, \mathcal{E}$ be Hilbert spaces, $H(K) \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{B})$ a functional Hilbert space such that

$$M_z \in L(H(K))^n \text{ is a row contraction.}$$

A function $W : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{E}_*, \mathcal{E})$ is called K -inner if

- (i) $\mathcal{E}_* \rightarrow H(K, \mathcal{E}), x \mapsto Wx$ is an isometry,
- (ii) $(W\mathcal{E}_*) \perp M_z^\alpha(W\mathcal{E}_*) \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

Theorem (Bhattacharjee, Keshari, Sarkar, E.)

- (i) W K -inner $\Rightarrow M_W : H_1(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{E}_*) \rightarrow H(K, \mathcal{E})$ contractive multiplier
- (ii) $\text{Wand}(M_z, H(K, \mathcal{E})) = \{W\mathcal{E}_*; W \text{ } K\text{-inner for some } \mathcal{E}_*\}$.

Idea for (ii): For $\mathcal{W} \in \text{Wand}(M_z)$, choose a partially isometric multiplier (Sarkar, Ball)

$$M_\theta : H_1(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow H(K, \mathcal{E}) \text{ with } \text{ran } M_\theta = [\mathcal{W}].$$

Define $\mathcal{E}_* = \mathcal{D} \cap (\ker M_\theta)^\perp$ and $W(z) = \theta(z)|_{\mathcal{E}_*}$. Then $\mathcal{W} = W(\mathcal{E}_*)$.

Parametrization of wandering subspaces

Let $\mathcal{E}_*, \mathcal{E}$ be Hilbert spaces, $H(K) \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{B})$ a functional Hilbert space such that

$$M_z \in L(H(K))^n \text{ is a row contraction.}$$

A function $W : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{E}_*, \mathcal{E})$ is called *K-inner* if

- (i) $\mathcal{E}_* \rightarrow H(K, \mathcal{E}), x \mapsto Wx$ is an isometry,
- (ii) $(W\mathcal{E}_*) \perp M_z^\alpha(W\mathcal{E}_*) \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

Theorem (Bhattacharjee, Keshari, Sarkar, E.)

- (i) W *K-inner* $\Rightarrow M_W : H_1(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{E}_*) \rightarrow H(K, \mathcal{E})$ contractive multiplier
- (ii) $\text{Wand}(M_z, H(K, \mathcal{E})) = \{W\mathcal{E}_*; W \text{ K-inner for some } \mathcal{E}_*\}$.

Idea for (ii): For $\mathcal{W} \in \text{Wand}(M_z)$, choose a partially isometric multiplier (Sarkar, Ball)

$$M_\theta : H_1(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow H(K, \mathcal{E}) \text{ with } \text{ran } M_\theta = [\mathcal{W}].$$

Define $\mathcal{E}_* = \mathcal{D} \cap (\ker M_\theta)^\perp$ and $W(z) = \theta(z)|_{\mathcal{E}_*}$. Then $\mathcal{W} = W(\mathcal{E}_*)$.

Parametrization of wandering subspaces

Let $\mathcal{E}_*, \mathcal{E}$ be Hilbert spaces, $H(K) \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{B})$ a functional Hilbert space such that

$$M_z \in L(H(K))^n \text{ is a row contraction.}$$

A function $W : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{E}_*, \mathcal{E})$ is called *K-inner* if

- (i) $\mathcal{E}_* \rightarrow H(K, \mathcal{E}), x \mapsto Wx$ is an isometry,
- (ii) $(W\mathcal{E}_*) \perp M_z^\alpha(W\mathcal{E}_*) \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

Theorem (Bhattacharjee, Keshari, Sarkar, E.)

- (i) W *K-inner* $\Rightarrow M_W : H_1(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{E}_*) \rightarrow H(K, \mathcal{E})$ contractive multiplier
- (ii) $\text{Wand}(M_z, H(K, \mathcal{E})) = \{W\mathcal{E}_*; W \text{ K-inner for some } \mathcal{E}_*\}$.

Idea for (ii): For $\mathcal{W} \in \text{Wand}(M_z)$, choose a partially isometric multiplier (Sarkar, Ball)

$$M_\theta : H_1(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow H(K, \mathcal{E}) \text{ with } \text{ran } M_\theta = [\mathcal{W}].$$

Define $\mathcal{E}_* = \mathcal{D} \cap (\ker M_\theta)^\perp$ and $W(z) = \theta(z)|_{\mathcal{E}_*}$. Then $\mathcal{W} = W(\mathcal{E}_*)$.

K_m -inner functions as transfer functions: $n = 1$

Theorem (Olofsson '06/'07)

A function $W : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{E}_*, \mathcal{E})$ is K_m -inner iff

there exist a pure m -hypercontraction $T \in L(H)$ and a matrix operator

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} T^* & B \\ \hline C & D \end{array} \right) \in L(H \oplus \mathcal{E}_*, H \oplus \mathcal{E})$$

with $W(z) = D + zC \left(\sum_{k=1}^m (1_H - zT^*)^{-k} \right) B$ and

- (i) $C^*C = \Delta_T^{(m)}$
- (ii) $D^*C + B^* \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \Delta_T^{(k)} \right) B = 0$
- (iii) $D^*D + B^* \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \Delta_T^{(k)} \right) B = 1_{\mathcal{E}_*}$.

Here $\Delta_T^{(k)} = (1 - \sigma_T)^k (1_H) \geq 0$ are the k th order defect operators of T .

K_m -inner functions as transfer functions: $n \geq 1$

Theorem (E.)

A function $W : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{E}_*, \mathcal{E})$ is K_m -inner iff there exist a pure m -hypercontraction $T \in L(H)^n$ and a matrix operator

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} T^* & B \\ \hline C & D \end{array} \right) \in L(H \oplus \mathcal{E}_*, H^n \oplus \mathcal{E})$$

with $W(z) = D + C \left(\sum_{k=1}^m (1_H - ZT^*)^{-k} \right) ZB$ and (i)-(iii) as well as

$$(iv) \quad \text{Im}(\oplus_j)B \subset M_z^* H_m(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{E}).$$

Corollary

$M \in \text{Lat}(M_z, H_m(\mathbb{B})) \Rightarrow \forall f \in W_{M_z}(M) = M \ominus \sum_{i=1}^n z_i M$

$$|f(z)|^2 \leq \|f\|_{H_m(\mathbb{B})}^2 \left(\frac{1}{(1 - |z|^2)^{m-1}} - (1 - |z|^2) K_{M^\perp}(z, z) \right).$$

K_m -inner functions as transfer functions: $n \geq 1$

Theorem (E.)

A function $W : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{E}_*, \mathcal{E})$ is K_m -inner iff there exist a pure m -hypercontraction $T \in L(H)^n$ and a matrix operator

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} T^* & B \\ \hline C & D \end{array} \right) \in L(H \oplus \mathcal{E}_*, H^n \oplus \mathcal{E})$$

with $W(z) = D + C \left(\sum_{k=1}^m (1_H - ZT^*)^{-k} \right) ZB$ and (i)-(iii) as well as

$$(iv) \quad \text{Im}(\oplus_j)B \subset M_z^* H_m(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{E}).$$

Corollary

$M \in \text{Lat}(M_z, H_m(\mathbb{B})) \Rightarrow \forall f \in W_{M_z}(M) = M \ominus \sum_{i=1}^n z_i M$

$$|f(z)|^2 \leq \|f\|_{H_m(\mathbb{B})}^2 \left(\frac{1}{(1 - |z|^2)^{m-1}} - (1 - |z|^2) K_{M^\perp}(z, z) \right).$$

K_m -inner function W_T of an m -hypercontraction

(1) If T is a pure m -hypercontraction, then $j_T : (H, T^*) \rightarrow (H_m(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D}), M_z^*)$,

$j_T(x) = C(1_H - ZT^*)^{-m}x$ is an isometric intertwiner,

$$M = (\text{ran } j_T)^\perp \in \text{Lat}(M_z, H_m(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D})).$$

Construct a K_m -inner function W_T with $W_T \mathcal{E}_* = W_T(M)$ of the right form.

(2) For $W : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{E}_*, \mathcal{E})$ K_m -inner, apply (1) to

$$T = P_{[\mathcal{W}]^\perp} M_z|_{[\mathcal{W}]^\perp}, \quad \mathcal{W} = W\mathcal{E}_* \in \text{Wand}(M_z),$$

and show that $W \cong W_T$.

One of the problems: For $n = 1$ the operator

$$L = (M_z^* M_z)^{-1} M_z^* \text{ solves Gleason's problem } M_z Lf = f - f(0).$$

Find a suitable multidimensional replacement.

K_m -inner function W_T of an m -hypercontraction

(1) If T is a pure m -hypercontraction, then $j_T : (H, T^*) \rightarrow (H_m(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D}), M_Z^*)$,

$j_T(x) = C(1_H - ZT^*)^{-m}x$ is an isometric intertwiner,

$$M = (\text{ran } j_T)^\perp \in \text{Lat}(M_Z, H_m(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D})).$$

Construct a K_m -inner function W_T with $W_T \mathcal{E}_* = W_T(M)$ of the right form.

(2) For $W : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{E}_*, \mathcal{E})$ K_m -inner, apply (1) to

$$T = P_{[\mathcal{W}]^\perp} M_Z|_{[\mathcal{W}]^\perp}, \quad \mathcal{W} = W\mathcal{E}_* \in \text{Wand}(M_Z),$$

and show that $W \cong W_T$.

One of the problems: For $n = 1$ the operator

$$L = (M_Z^* M_Z)^{-1} M_Z^* \text{ solves Gleason's problem } M_Z Lf = f - f(0).$$

Find a suitable multidimensional replacement.

K_m -inner function W_T of an m -hypercontraction

(1) If T is a pure m -hypercontraction, then $j_T : (H, T^*) \rightarrow (H_m(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D}), M_Z^*)$,

$j_T(x) = C(1_H - ZT^*)^{-m}x$ is an isometric intertwiner,

$$M = (\text{ran } j_T)^\perp \in \text{Lat}(M_Z, H_m(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D})).$$

Construct a K_m -inner function W_T with $W_T \mathcal{E}_* = W_T(M)$ of the right form.

(2) For $W : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{E}_*, \mathcal{E})$ K_m -inner, apply (1) to

$$T = P_{[\mathcal{W}]^\perp} M_Z|_{[\mathcal{W}]^\perp}, \quad \mathcal{W} = W\mathcal{E}_* \in \text{Wand}(M_Z),$$

and show that $W \cong W_T$.

One of the problems: For $n = 1$ the operator

$$L = (M_Z^* M_Z)^{-1} M_Z^* \text{ solves Gleason's problem } M_Z Lf = f - f(0).$$

Find a suitable multidimensional replacement.

Characteristic functions

For a **single pure contraction** $T \in L(H)$ (i.e. $m = 1 = n$), the function

$$W_T : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{D}_T, \mathcal{D}_{T^*}), W_T(z) = D + C(1_H - ZT^*)^{-1} ZB$$

coincides with the **Sz.-Nagy-Foias characteristic function** θ_T of T .

For a **pure row contraction** $T \in L(H)^n$ (i.e. $m = 1$), the function

$$W_T : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{E}_*, \mathcal{D}), W_T(z) = D + C(1_H - ZT^*)^{-1} ZB$$

is simply a restriction $W_T(z) = \theta_T(z)|_{\mathcal{E}_*}$ of the characteristic function θ_T of T .

Can one define a **characteristic function** θ_T for m -hypercontractions?

Characteristic functions

For a **single pure contraction** $T \in L(H)$ (i.e. $m = 1 = n$), the function

$$W_T : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{D}_T, \mathcal{D}_{T^*}), W_T(z) = D + C(1_H - ZT^*)^{-1}ZB$$

coincides with the **Sz.-Nagy-Foias characteristic function** θ_T of T .

For a **pure row contraction** $T \in L(H)^n$ (i.e. $m = 1$), the function

$$W_T : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{E}_*, \mathcal{D}), W_T(z) = D + C(1_H - ZT^*)^{-1}ZB$$

is simply a restriction $W_T(z) = \theta_T(z)|_{\mathcal{E}_*}$ of the characteristic function θ_T of T .

Can one define a **characteristic function** θ_T for m -hypercontractions?

Characteristic functions

For a **single pure contraction** $T \in L(H)$ (i.e. $m = 1 = n$), the function

$$W_T : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{D}_T, \mathcal{D}_{T^*}), W_T(z) = D + C(1_H - ZT^*)^{-1}ZB$$

coincides with the **Sz.-Nagy-Foias characteristic function** θ_T of T .

For a **pure row contraction** $T \in L(H)^n$ (i.e. $m = 1$), the function

$$W_T : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{E}_*, \mathcal{D}), W_T(z) = D + C(1_H - ZT^*)^{-1}ZB$$

is simply a restriction $W_T(z) = \theta_T(z)|_{\mathcal{E}_*}$ of the characteristic function θ_T of T .

Can one define a **characteristic function** θ_T for m -hypercontractions?

Characteristic function: An idea

One can define a **purely contractive analytic function** $\theta_T : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{D}_T \oplus M, \mathcal{D})$

$$\theta_T(z) = -\Delta_1(z)(T \oplus \mathbf{1}_M) + \Delta_0(1_H - ZT^*)^{-m}Z(D_T, \mathbf{0}_M)$$

such that θ_T induces a **partially isometric multiplier**

- $M_{\theta_T} : H_1(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D}_T \oplus M) \rightarrow H_m(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D})$ with
- $M_{\theta_T} M_{\theta_T}^* + j_T j_T^* = \mathbf{1}_{H_m(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D})}$
- For $m = 1$: $M = \{0\}$, $\Delta_1(z) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}_T} = \Delta_0$.

By abstract results there is an isometry $V : \mathcal{E}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_T \oplus M$ such that

$$\theta_T(z)V = W_T(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{B}).$$

Describe V explicitly, or give a better definition of a characteristic function.

Characteristic function: An idea

One can define a **purely contractive analytic function** $\theta_T : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{D}_T \oplus M, \mathcal{D})$

$$\theta_T(z) = -\Delta_1(z)(T \oplus 1_M) + \Delta_0(1_H - ZT^*)^{-m}Z(D_T, 0_M)$$

such that θ_T induces a **partially isometric multiplier**

- $M_{\theta_T} : H_1(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D}_T \oplus M) \rightarrow H_m(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D})$ with
- $M_{\theta_T} M_{\theta_T}^* + j_T j_T^* = 1_{H_m(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D})}$
- For $m = 1$: $M = \{0\}$, $\Delta_1(z) = 1_{\mathcal{D}_T} = \Delta_0$.

By abstract results there is an isometry $V : \mathcal{E}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_T \oplus M$ such that

$$\theta_T(z)V = W_T(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{B}).$$

Describe V explicitly, or give a better definition of a characteristic function.

Characteristic function: An idea

One can define a **purely contractive analytic function** $\theta_T : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{D}_T \oplus M, \mathcal{D})$

$$\theta_T(z) = -\Delta_1(z)(T \oplus 1_M) + \Delta_0(1_H - ZT^*)^{-m}Z(D_T, 0_M)$$

such that θ_T induces a **partially isometric multiplier**

- $M_{\theta_T} : H_1(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D}_T \oplus M) \rightarrow H_m(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D})$ with
- $M_{\theta_T} M_{\theta_T}^* + j_T j_T^* = 1_{H_m(\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{D})}$
- For $m = 1$: $M = \{0\}$, $\Delta_1(z) = 1_{\mathcal{D}_T} = \Delta_0$.

By abstract results there is an isometry $V : \mathcal{E}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_T \oplus M$ such that

$$\theta_T(z)V = W_T(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{B}).$$

Describe V explicitly, or give a better definition of a characteristic function.

Thank you!

Many happy returns of the day, Baruch!

Thank you!

Many happy returns of the day, Baruch!