

Multipartite rational functions

Jurij Volčič,
with Igor Klep and Victor Vinnikov

University of Auckland

Multivariable Operator Theory

Technion 2017

Plan

1. Introduction: noncommutative rational functions
2. Multipartite rational functions: construction and universality
3. Amitsur's theorem on multipartite identities
4. Noncommutative function theory perspective

Nc rational expressions

\mathbb{k} a field of characteristic 0, $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_g\}$ freely noncommuting letters, $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$ **the free algebra of nc polynomials**.

$\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbf{x})$ **nc rational expressions** built from $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$ using

$+$, \cdot , $^{-1}$, $(\ , \)$,

e.g. $x_2(1 + x_1x_2^{-1}(x_1 - 3))^{-1}$, $(x_1x_2)^{-1} - x_2^{-1}x_1^{-1}$, $(1 - x_1^{-1}x_1)^{-1}$.

Nc rational expressions

\mathbb{k} a field of characteristic 0, $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_g\}$ freely noncommuting letters, $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$ **the free algebra of nc polynomials**.

$\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbf{x})$ **nc rational expressions** built from $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$ using

$+$, \cdot , $^{-1}$, $(\ , \)$,

e.g. $x_2(1 + x_1x_2^{-1}(x_1 - 3))^{-1}$, $(x_1x_2)^{-1} - x_2^{-1}x_1^{-1}$, $(1 - x_1^{-1}x_1)^{-1}$.

Evaluations of $r \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbf{x})$ on tuples of matrices:

- ▶ $M_n(\mathbb{k})^g \dashrightarrow M_n(\mathbb{k})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- ▶ $\text{dom } r \subseteq \bigcup_n M_n(\mathbb{k})^g$ the **domain** of r ;
- ▶ r is **degenerate** if $\text{dom } r = \emptyset$ and **nondegenerate** otherwise.

Nc rational expressions

\mathbb{k} a field of characteristic 0, $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_g\}$ freely noncommuting letters, $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$ **the free algebra of nc polynomials**.

$\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbf{x})$ **nc rational expressions** built from $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$ using

$+$, \cdot , $^{-1}$, $(\ , \)$,

e.g. $x_2(1 + x_1x_2^{-1}(x_1 - 3))^{-1}$, $(x_1x_2)^{-1} - x_2^{-1}x_1^{-1}$, $(1 - x_1^{-1}x_1)^{-1}$.

Evaluations of $r \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbf{x})$ on tuples of matrices:

- ▶ $M_n(\mathbb{k})^g \dashrightarrow M_n(\mathbb{k})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- ▶ $\text{dom } r \subseteq \bigcup_n M_n(\mathbb{k})^g$ the **domain** of r ;
- ▶ r is **degenerate** if $\text{dom } r = \emptyset$ and **nondegenerate** otherwise.

Define equivalence relation for nondegenerate expressions: $r_1 \sim r_2$ iff $r_1(X) = r_2(X)$ for all $X \in \text{dom } r_1 \cap \text{dom } r_2$.

Nc rational functions

Classes of nondegenerate expressions are called **nc rational functions** and form a skew field $\mathbb{k}\langle\mathbf{x}\rangle$, the **free skew field**.

Nc rational functions

Classes of nondegenerate expressions are called **nc rational functions** and form a skew field $\mathbb{k}\langle\mathbf{x}\rangle$, the **free skew field**.

This construction is due to Helton, McCullough, Vinnikov. Others:

- ▶ evaluations on ∞ -dim skew fields (Amitsur)
- ▶ full matrices over $\mathbb{k}\langle\mathbf{x}\rangle$ (Cohn)
- ▶ Malcev-Neumann series of a free group (Lewin)
- ▶ grading on a free Lie algebra (Lichtman)
- ▶ unbounded operators associated to a von Neumann algebra (Linnell)

Nc function context

Evaluations of nc rational functions respect direct sums and similarities, so they are nc functions equipped with nc difference-differential calculus (Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi, Vinnikov).

Nc function context

Evaluations of nc rational functions respect direct sums and similarities, so they are nc functions equipped with nc difference-differential calculus (Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi, Vinnikov).

Here $f = (f_n)_n$, $f_n : \Omega_n \subseteq M_n(\mathbb{k})^g \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{k})$, is a **nc function** if $f_{m+n}(X \oplus Y) = f_m(X) \oplus f_n(Y)$ and $f_n(PXP^{-1}) = Pf_n(X)P^{-1}$.

Nc function context

Evaluations of nc rational functions respect direct sums and similarities, so they are nc functions equipped with nc difference-differential calculus (Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi, Vinnikov).

Here $f = (f_n)_n$, $f_n : \Omega_n \subseteq M_n(\mathbb{k})^g \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{k})$, is a **nc function** if $f_{m+n}(X \oplus Y) = f_m(X) \oplus f_n(Y)$ and $f_n(PXP^{-1}) = Pf_n(X)P^{-1}$.

If f is a nc function, then

$$f \begin{pmatrix} X & H \\ 0 & Y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f(X) & \sum_j \Delta_j(f)(X, Y)H_j \\ 0 & f(Y) \end{pmatrix},$$

where Δ_j are (left) **directional nc difference-differential operators**

$$\Delta_j(f)_{m,n} : \Omega_m \times \Omega_n \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbb{k}^{m \times n}, \mathbb{k}^{m \times n}).$$

(**higher order nc functions**)

Polynomial example

For example, if $f = x_1^2 x_2 x_1$, then the directional non-commutative difference-differential operators of f at $(X_1, X_2; Y_1, Y_2)$ are given by

$$\Delta_1(f)(X_1, X_2; Y_1, Y_2)H = HY_1 Y_2 Y_1 + X_1 H Y_2 Y_1 + X_1^2 X_2 H$$

$$\Delta_2(f)(X_1, X_2; Y_1, Y_2)H = X_1^2 H Y_1$$

Polynomial example

For example, if $f = x_1^2 x_2 x_1$, then the directional non-difference-differential operators of f at $(X_1, X_2; Y_1, Y_2)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_1(f)(X_1, X_2; Y_1, Y_2)H &= HY_1 Y_2 Y_1 + X_1 H Y_2 Y_1 + X_1^2 X_2 H \\ &= 1 \otimes Y_1 Y_2 Y_1 + X_1 \otimes Y_2 Y_1 + X_1^2 X_2 \otimes 1\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_2(f)(X_1, X_2; Y_1, Y_2)H &= X_1^2 H Y_1 \\ &= X_1^2 \otimes Y_1\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\Delta_1, \Delta_2 : \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle \otimes \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{y} \rangle$.

Polynomial example

For example, if $f = x_1^2 x_2 x_1$, then the directional nc difference-differential operators of f at $(X_1, X_2; Y_1, Y_2)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_1(f)(X_1, X_2; Y_1, Y_2)H &= HY_1 Y_2 Y_1 + X_1 H Y_2 Y_1 + X_1^2 X_2 H \\ &= 1 \otimes Y_1 Y_2 Y_1 + X_1 \otimes Y_2 Y_1 + X_1^2 X_2 \otimes 1\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_2(f)(X_1, X_2; Y_1, Y_2)H &= X_1^2 H Y_1 \\ &= X_1^2 \otimes Y_1\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\Delta_1, \Delta_2 : \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle \otimes \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{y} \rangle$.

Applying Δ_j further: $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$. What are higher order nc rational functions?

Universal skew field of fractions

$\mathbb{k}\langle\mathbf{x}\rangle$ is the **universal skew field of fractions** of $\mathbb{k}\langle\mathbf{x}\rangle$ (Cohn; Amitsur; Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi, Vinnikov).

Universal skew field of fractions

$\mathbb{k}\langle\mathbf{x}\rangle$ is the **universal skew field of fractions** of $\mathbb{k}\langle\mathbf{x}\rangle$ (Cohn; Amitsur; Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi, Vinnikov).

Fix a ring R . A skew field U is a **SFF** of R if $R \subset U$ and R generates U as a skew field.

Universal skew field of fractions

$\mathbb{k}\langle\mathbf{x}\rangle$ is the **universal skew field of fractions** of $\mathbb{k}\langle\mathbf{x}\rangle$ (Cohn; Amitsur; Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi, Vinnikov).

Fix a ring R . A skew field U is a **SFF** of R if $R \subset U$ and R generates U as a skew field.

Furthermore, U is a **USFF** of R if for every matrix A over R and a homomorphism $\phi : R \rightarrow D$ into a skew field D ,

$$\phi(A) \text{ invertible over } D \quad \Rightarrow \quad A \text{ invertible over } U.$$

Universal skew field of fractions

$\mathbb{k}\langle\mathbf{x}\rangle$ is the **universal skew field of fractions** of $\mathbb{k}\langle\mathbf{x}\rangle$ (Cohn; Amitsur; Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi, Vinnikov).

Fix a ring R . A skew field U is a **SFF** of R if $R \subset U$ and R generates U as a skew field.

Furthermore, U is a **USFF** of R if for every matrix A over R and a homomorphism $\phi : R \rightarrow D$ into a skew field D ,

$$\phi(A) \text{ invertible over } D \quad \Rightarrow \quad A \text{ invertible over } U.$$

This notion is due to Cohn (70s). It is a universal property in the category of skew fields with epimorphisms from R ; morphisms are specializations (local homomorphisms) between skew fields.

Rings with USFF

Known rings admitting USFF:

- ▶ commutative domains

Rings with USFF

Known rings admitting USFF:

- ▶ commutative domains
- ▶ firs, e.g. $\mathbb{k}\langle\mathbf{x}\rangle$; semifirs, e.g. $\mathbb{k}\langle\langle\mathbf{x}\rangle\rangle$, or nc functions analytic at the origin

(semi) free ideal ring: every (finitely generated) left ideal is a free left module of unique rank

Rings with USFF

Known rings admitting USFF:

- ▶ commutative domains
- ▶ firs, e.g. $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$; semifirs, e.g. $\mathbb{k}\langle\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle\rangle$, or nc functions analytic at the origin

(semi) free ideal ring: every (finitely generated) left ideal is a free left module of unique rank
- ▶ (pseudo-)Sylvester domains (a bit bigger class; still small, e.g. $\mathbb{k}[t_1, t_2, t_3]$ is not a Sylvester domain)

Rings with USFF

Known rings admitting USFF:

- ▶ commutative domains
- ▶ firs, e.g. $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$; semifirs, e.g. $\mathbb{k}\langle\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle\rangle$, or nc functions analytic at the origin

(semi) free ideal ring: every (finitely generated) left ideal is a free left module of unique rank
- ▶ (pseudo-)Sylvester domains (a bit bigger class; still small, e.g. $\mathbb{k}[t_1, t_2, t_3]$ is not a Sylvester domain)

Tensor product of free algebras is **not** a pseudo-Sylvester domain (apart from trivial cases). Cohn ('97) proved that $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle \otimes \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{y} \rangle$ has the USFF, but was unable to show this for more factors.

Rings with USFF

Known rings admitting USFF:

- ▶ commutative domains
- ▶ firs, e.g. $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$; semifirs, e.g. $\mathbb{k}\langle\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle\rangle$, or nc functions analytic at the origin

(semi) free ideal ring: every (finitely generated) left ideal is a free left module of unique rank
- ▶ (pseudo-)Sylvester domains (a bit bigger class; still small, e.g. $\mathbb{k}[t_1, t_2, t_3]$ is not a Sylvester domain)

Tensor product of free algebras is **not** a pseudo-Sylvester domain (apart from trivial cases). Cohn ('97) proved that $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle \otimes \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{y} \rangle$ has the USFF, but was unable to show this for more factors.

Today: $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle := \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \rangle \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$ admits the USFF $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$ for every $G \in \mathbb{N}$.

Notation

For $i = 1, \dots, G$ let $\mathbf{x}^{(i)} = \{x_1^{(i)}, \dots, x_{g_i}^{(i)}\}$ be sets of freely noncommuting variables and $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \cup \dots \cup \mathbf{x}^{(G)}$.

Notation

For $i = 1, \dots, G$ let $\mathbf{x}^{(i)} = \{x_1^{(i)}, \dots, x_{g_i}^{(i)}\}$ be sets of freely noncommuting variables and $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \cup \dots \cup \mathbf{x}^{(G)}$.

Given $r \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbf{x})$ and $X^{(i)} \in M_{n_i}(\mathbb{k})^{g_i}$ we define **mp-evaluation** of r at $X = (X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(G)})$ as

$$r^{\text{mp}}(X) := r\left(X^{(1)} \otimes I \otimes \dots \otimes I, I \otimes X^{(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes I, \dots, I \otimes I \otimes \dots \otimes X^{(G)}\right)$$

in $M_{n_1 \dots n_G}(\mathbb{k})$, if all nested inverses exist.

Here \otimes denotes Kronecker's product; note that $(A \otimes I)(I \otimes B) = (I \otimes B)(A \otimes I)$.

Example

For example, let $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2\}$, $\mathbf{y} = \{y_1, y_2\}$ and
 $r = (x_1 + y_2 x_2 x_1 y_1)^{-1} - y_2^{-1}$.

Example

For example, let $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2\}$, $\mathbf{y} = \{y_1, y_2\}$ and
 $r = (x_1 + y_2 x_2 x_1 y_1)^{-1} - y_2^{-1}$.

Then

$$r(X; Y) = (X_1 \otimes I + (I \otimes Y_2)(X_2 \otimes I)(X_1 \otimes I)(I \otimes Y_1))^{-1} \\ - (I \otimes Y_2)^{-1}$$

Example

For example, let $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2\}$, $\mathbf{y} = \{y_1, y_2\}$ and
 $r = (x_1 + y_2 x_2 x_1 y_1)^{-1} - y_2^{-1}$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}r(X; Y) &= (X_1 \otimes I + (I \otimes Y_2)(X_2 \otimes I)(X_1 \otimes I)(I \otimes Y_1))^{-1} \\ &\quad - (I \otimes Y_2)^{-1} \\ &= (X_1 \otimes I + X_2 X_1 \otimes Y_2 Y_1)^{-1} - I \otimes Y_2^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Multipartite rational functions

Given $r \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbf{x})$ let

$$\text{dom}^{\text{mp}} r \subseteq \bigcup_{n_1, \dots, n_G} M_{n_1}(\mathbb{k})^{g_1} \times \dots \times M_{n_G}(\mathbb{k})^{g_G}$$

be its **mp-domain**.

Multipartite rational functions

Given $r \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbf{x})$ let

$$\text{dom}^{\text{mp}} r \subseteq \bigcup_{n_1, \dots, n_G} M_{n_1}(\mathbb{k})^{g_1} \times \dots \times M_{n_G}(\mathbb{k})^{g_G}$$

be its **mp-domain**.

On the set of rational expressions with non-empty mp-domains we define equivalence relation $r_1 \sim r_2$ if and only if $r_1^{\text{mp}}(X) = r_2^{\text{mp}}(X)$ for all $X \in \text{dom}^{\text{mp}} r_1 \cap \text{dom}^{\text{mp}} r_2$. The equivalence class of r is denoted \mathbf{r} and called a **multipartite rational function**.

Multipartite rational functions

Given $r \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbf{x})$ let

$$\text{dom}^{\text{mp}} r \subseteq \bigcup_{n_1, \dots, n_G} M_{n_1}(\mathbb{k})^{g_1} \times \dots \times M_{n_G}(\mathbb{k})^{g_G}$$

be its **mp-domain**.

On the set of rational expressions with non-empty mp-domains we define equivalence relation $r_1 \sim r_2$ if and only if $r_1^{\text{mp}}(X) = r_2^{\text{mp}}(X)$ for all $X \in \text{dom}^{\text{mp}} r_1 \cap \text{dom}^{\text{mp}} r_2$. The equivalence class of r is denoted \mathbf{r} and called a **multipartite rational function**.

The set of multipartite rational functions is denoted $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$ and endowed with the natural ring structure.

Theorem

$\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$ is a SFF of $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$.

Basic properties

(1) Let $\mathbf{M} \in M_d(\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle)$. Then \mathbf{M} is invertible if and only if $\mathbf{M}(X)$ is invertible (as a matrix over \mathbb{k}) for some $X \in \text{dom } \mathbf{M}$.

Basic properties

(1) Let $\mathbf{M} \in M_d(\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle)$. Then \mathbf{M} is invertible if and only if $\mathbf{M}(X)$ is invertible (as a matrix over \mathbb{k}) for some $X \in \text{dom } \mathbf{M}$.

(2) Let $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$ and $Y \in \text{dom } \mathbf{r}$ with $Y^{(1)} \in M_d(\mathbb{k})^{g_1}$. Then there exists $\mathbf{S} \in M_d(\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(2)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle)$ such that

$$\mathbf{r}(Y^{(1)}, X) = \mathbf{S}(X)$$

for all $X \in \text{dom } \mathbf{S}$ such that $(Y^{(1)}, X) \in \text{dom } \mathbf{r}$.

Basic properties

(1) Let $\mathbf{M} \in M_d(\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle)$. Then \mathbf{M} is invertible if and only if $\mathbf{M}(X)$ is invertible (as a matrix over \mathbb{k}) for some $X \in \text{dom } \mathbf{M}$.

(2) Let $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$ and $Y \in \text{dom } \mathbf{r}$ with $Y^{(1)} \in M_d(\mathbb{k})^{g_1}$. Then there exists $\mathbf{S} \in M_d(\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(2)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle)$ such that

$$\mathbf{r}(Y^{(1)}, X) = \mathbf{S}(X)$$

for all $X \in \text{dom } \mathbf{S}$ such that $(Y^{(1)}, X) \in \text{dom } \mathbf{r}$.

(3) $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G_1)} \rangle \cap \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(G_0)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle = \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(G_0)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G_1)} \rangle$ holds in $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$ for $G_0 \leq G_1$.

Basic properties

(1) Let $\mathbf{M} \in M_d(\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle)$. Then \mathbf{M} is invertible if and only if $\mathbf{M}(X)$ is invertible (as a matrix over \mathbb{k}) for some $X \in \text{dom } \mathbf{M}$.

(2) Let $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$ and $Y \in \text{dom } \mathbf{r}$ with $Y^{(1)} \in M_d(\mathbb{k})^{g_1}$. Then there exists $\mathbf{S} \in M_d(\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(2)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle)$ such that

$$\mathbf{r}(Y^{(1)}, X) = \mathbf{S}(X)$$

for all $X \in \text{dom } \mathbf{S}$ such that $(Y^{(1)}, X) \in \text{dom } \mathbf{r}$.

(3)

$\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G_1)} \rangle \cap \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(G_0)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle = \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(G_0)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G_1)} \rangle$
holds in $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$ for $G_0 \leq G_1$.

(4) The centralizer of $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \rangle$ in $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$ equals $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(2)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$ if $|\mathbf{x}_1| > 1$.

Auxiliary result

Let D be an arbitrary skew field containing \mathbb{k} . Then $D \otimes \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$ is a fir (Cohn); in particular, it has the USFF.

Auxiliary result

Let D be an arbitrary skew field containing \mathbb{k} . Then $D \otimes \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$ is a fir (Cohn); in particular, it has the USFF.

Proposition

Let M be a $d \times d$ matrix over $D \otimes \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$. Then M is invertible over the USFF of $D \otimes \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$ if and only if

$M(X) \in M_d(D \otimes M_n(\mathbb{k})) \cong M_{dn}(D)$ is invertible for some $X \in M_n(\mathbb{k})^g$.

Auxiliary result

Let D be an arbitrary skew field containing \mathbb{k} . Then $D \otimes \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$ is a fir (Cohn); in particular, it has the USFF.

Proposition

Let M be a $d \times d$ matrix over $D \otimes \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$. Then M is invertible over the USFF of $D \otimes \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$ if and only if

$M(X) \in M_d(D \otimes M_n(\mathbb{k})) \cong M_{dn}(D)$ is invertible for some $X \in M_n(\mathbb{k})^g$.

Ingredients: Cohn's theory of USFFs, PI theory, skew field constructions and power series expansions.

Universality

Theorem

$\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$ is the USFF of $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$.

Universality

Theorem

$\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$ is the USFF of $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$.

Corollary

Let $r \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbf{x})$. TFAE:

- (i) $r^{\text{mp}}(X) = 0$ for all $X \in \text{dom}^{\text{mp}} r$;
- (ii) $r(X) = 0$ for all $X \in \text{dom } r$ such that $[X_{j_1}^{(i_1)}, X_{j_2}^{(i_2)}] = 0$ for $i_1 \neq i_2$;
- (iii) for every skew field D , $r(a) \in \{0, \text{undef}\}$ for every tuple $a \in D^{g_1 + \dots + g_G}$ such that $[a_{j_1}^{(i_1)}, a_{j_2}^{(i_2)}] = 0$.

Sketch of the proof

Let M be a $d \times d$ matrix over $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$ and let $\phi : \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle \rightarrow D$ be a homomorphism into a skew field D such that $\phi(M)$ is invertible over M .

Sketch of the proof

Let M be a $d \times d$ matrix over $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$ and let $\phi : \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle \rightarrow D$ be a homomorphism into a skew field D such that $\phi(M)$ is invertible over M .

1. Write $a_j^{(i)} = \phi(x_j^{(i)})$; $M(a^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \dots)$ invertible over D

Sketch of the proof

Let M be a $d \times d$ matrix over $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$ and let $\phi : \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle \rightarrow D$ be a homomorphism into a skew field D such that $\phi(M)$ is invertible over M .

1. Write $a_j^{(i)} = \phi(x_j^{(i)})$; $M(a^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \dots)$ invertible over D
2. $D \otimes \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \rangle$ fir: $M(x^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \dots)$ invertible over the USFF of $D \otimes \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \rangle$

Sketch of the proof

Let M be a $d \times d$ matrix over $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$ and let $\phi : \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle \rightarrow D$ be a homomorphism into a skew field D such that $\phi(M)$ is invertible over M .

1. Write $a_j^{(i)} = \phi(x_j^{(i)})$; $M(a^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \dots)$ invertible over D
2. $D \otimes \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \rangle$ fir: $M(x^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \dots)$ invertible over the USFF of $D \otimes \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \rangle$
3. proposition: $M(X^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \dots) \in M_{dn_1}(D)$ invertible for some $X \in M_{n_1}(\mathbb{k})^{g_1}$

Sketch of the proof

Let M be a $d \times d$ matrix over $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$ and let $\phi : \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle \rightarrow D$ be a homomorphism into a skew field D such that $\phi(M)$ is invertible over M .

1. Write $a_j^{(i)} = \phi(x_j^{(i)})$; $M(a^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \dots)$ invertible over D
2. $D \otimes \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \rangle$ fir: $M(x^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \dots)$ invertible over the USFF of $D \otimes \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \rangle$
3. proposition: $M(X^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \dots) \in M_{dn_1}(D)$ invertible for some $X \in M_{n_1}(\mathbb{k})^{g_1}$
4. induction: $N = M(X^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots)$ invertible over $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(2)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$

Sketch of the proof

Let M be a $d \times d$ matrix over $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$ and let $\phi : \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle \rightarrow D$ be a homomorphism into a skew field D such that $\phi(M)$ is invertible over M .

1. Write $a_j^{(i)} = \phi(x_j^{(i)})$; $M(a^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \dots)$ invertible over D
2. $D \otimes \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \rangle$ fir: $M(x^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \dots)$ invertible over the USFF of $D \otimes \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \rangle$
3. proposition: $M(X^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \dots) \in M_{dn_1}(D)$ invertible for some $X \in M_{n_1}(\mathbb{k})^{g_1}$
4. induction: $N = M(X^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots)$ invertible over $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(2)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$
5. basic property: $N(X^{(2)}, \dots)$ invertible for some $X^{(i)} \in M_{n_i}(\mathbb{k})^{g_i}$

Sketch of the proof

Let M be a $d \times d$ matrix over $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$ and let $\phi : \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle \rightarrow D$ be a homomorphism into a skew field D such that $\phi(M)$ is invertible over M .

1. Write $a_j^{(i)} = \phi(x_j^{(i)})$; $M(a^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \dots)$ invertible over D
2. $D \otimes \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \rangle$ fir: $M(x^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \dots)$ invertible over the USFF of $D \otimes \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \rangle$
3. proposition: $M(X^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \dots) \in M_{dn_1}(D)$ invertible for some $X \in M_{n_1}(\mathbb{k})^{g_1}$
4. induction: $N = M(X^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots)$ invertible over $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(2)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$
5. basic property: $N(X^{(2)}, \dots)$ invertible for some $X^{(i)} \in M_{n_i}(\mathbb{k})^{g_i}$
6. $M(X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}, \dots)$ invertible, so M invertible over $\mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$

Higher order nc rational functions

Let $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$. Then

1. \mathbf{r} respects direct sums in the first factor and up to canonical shuffle in other factors; $(A \otimes B \sim B \otimes A)$
2. \mathbf{r} respects similarities in every factor.

Hence \mathbf{r} is a nc function of order $G - 1$.

Higher order nc rational functions

Let $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$. Then

1. \mathbf{r} respects direct sums in the first factor and up to canonical shuffle in other factors; $(A \otimes B \sim B \otimes A)$
2. \mathbf{r} respects similarities in every factor.

Hence \mathbf{r} is a nc function of order $G - 1$.

Directional nc difference-differential operators

$$\Delta_j^{(i)} : \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}'^{(i)} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle$$

satisfy the usual properties.

Higher order nc rational functions cont'd

Furthermore, diagrams like

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \cup \mathbf{x}^{(2)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle & \dashrightarrow & \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle \\
 \downarrow \Delta_j^{(1)} & & \downarrow \Delta_j^{(1)} \\
 \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}'^{(1)} \cup \mathbf{x}'^{(2)} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \cup \mathbf{x}^{(2)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle & \dashrightarrow & \mathbb{k}\langle \mathbf{x}'^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(G)} \rangle
 \end{array}$$

commute, where \dashrightarrow are specializations (local homomorphisms) between skew fields.

Thank you,
and happy birthday!