On complete K-spectral sets (the other title was too long)

Michael Dritschel

joint with Daniel Estévez and Dmitry Yakubovich

Technion, June 2017

von Neumann's Inequality: the basic version

Let p be a complex polynomial and $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{H}$ a Hilbert space. If $\|T\| \leq 1$, then $\|p(T)\| \leq \|p\|_\infty$, where $\|p\|_\infty$ is the supremum norm of p over the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$.

von Neumann's Inequality: the basic version

Let p be a complex polynomial and $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{H}$ a Hilbert space. If $\|T\| \leq 1$, then $\|p(T)\| \leq \|p\|_\infty$, where $\|p\|_\infty$ is the supremum norm of p over the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$.

From the spectral theorem, it is clear von Neumann's inequality holds if T is a unitary operator.

von Neumann's Inequality: the basic version

Let p be a complex polynomial and $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{H}$ a Hilbert space. If $\|T\| \leq 1$, then $\|p(T)\| \leq \|p\|_\infty$, where $\|p\|_\infty$ is the supremum norm of p over the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$.

From the spectral theorem, it is clear von Neumann's inequality holds if T is a unitary operator.

On the other hand, the Sz.-Nagy dilation theorem states that every contraction $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ has a *power dilation* $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ for some Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} \supseteq \mathcal{H}$; that is, $T^n = P_{\mathcal{H}} U^n|_{\mathcal{H}}$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$.

von Neumann's Inequality: the basic version

Let p be a complex polynomial and $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{H}$ a Hilbert space. If $\|T\| \leq 1$, then $\|p(T)\| \leq \|p\|_\infty$, where $\|p\|_\infty$ is the supremum norm of p over the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$.

From the spectral theorem, it is clear von Neumann's inequality holds if T is a unitary operator.

On the other hand, the Sz.-Nagy dilation theorem states that every contraction $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ has a *power dilation* $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ for some Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} \supseteq \mathcal{H}$; that is, $T^n = P_{\mathcal{H}} U^n|_{\mathcal{H}}$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$.

Consequently, we obtain the von Neumann inequality as stated.

Spectral sets

Suppose that Ω is a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$ and $\sigma(T) \subset \Omega$. Write $R(\Omega)$ for the algebra of functions with poles off of Ω. We say that Ω is a *spectral set* for T if for all $r \in R(\Omega)$, $\|r(T)\| \leq \|r\|_\infty$, the supremum norm over Ω.

Spectral sets

Suppose that Ω is a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$ and $\sigma(T) \subset \Omega$. Write $R(\Omega)$ for the algebra of functions with poles off of Ω. We say that Ω is a *spectral set* for T if for all $r \in R(\Omega)$, $\|r(T)\| \leq \|r\|_\infty$, the supremum norm over Ω.

Accordingly, von Neumann's inequality may be rephrased as stating that $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is a spectral set for any contraction T.

Spectral sets

Suppose that Ω is a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$ and $\sigma(T) \subset \Omega$. Write $R(\Omega)$ for the algebra of functions with poles off of Ω. We say that Ω is a *spectral set* for T if for all $r \in R(\Omega)$, $\|r(T)\| \leq \|r\|_\infty$, the supremum norm over Ω.

Accordingly, von Neumann's inequality may be rephrased as stating that $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is a spectral set for any contraction T.

Let $K \geq 1$. We will say that Ω is an *K-spectral set* for T if for all $r \in R(\Omega)$, $\|r(T)\| \leq K\|r\|_\infty$. So spectral sets are 1-spectral sets.

Spectral sets

Suppose that Ω is a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$ and $\sigma(T) \subset \Omega$. Write $R(\Omega)$ for the algebra of functions with poles off of Ω. We say that Ω is a *spectral set* for T if for all $r \in R(\Omega)$, $\|r(T)\| \le \|r\|_\infty$, the supremum norm over Ω.

Accordingly, von Neumann's inequality may be rephrased as stating that $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is a spectral set for any contraction T.

Let $K \ge 1$. We will say that Ω is an *K-spectral set* for T if for all $r \in R(\Omega)$, $\|r(T)\| \le K\|r\|_\infty$. So spectral sets are 1-spectral sets.

We call Ω a *complete spectral* (*complete K-spectral set*) for T if these statements hold with $R(\Omega)$ replaced by matrix valued rational functions, so $r \in R(\Omega) \otimes M_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The closed disk as a complete spectral set

There is a “complete” version of von Neumann’s inequality:

If T is a contraction, the $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is a complete spectral set for T.

The closed disk as a complete spectral set

There is a “complete” version of von Neumann’s inequality:

If T is a contraction, the $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is a complete spectral set for T.

The proof is essentially the same as for von Neumann’s inequality. By Runge’s theorem, we can uniformly approximate any function in $R(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ by polynomials, and so it suffices to work with polynomials. Again the spectral theorem allows us to conclude that the result holds whenever T is a unitary operator. The Sz.-Nagy dilation theorem then gives the result for general contractions.

The closed disk as a complete spectral set

There is a “complete” version of von Neumann’s inequality:

If T is a contraction, the $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is a complete spectral set for T.

The proof is essentially the same as for von Neumann’s inequality. By Runge’s theorem, we can uniformly approximate any function in $R(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ by polynomials, and so it suffices to work with polynomials. Again the spectral theorem allows us to conclude that the result holds whenever T is a unitary operator. The Sz.-Nagy dilation theorem then gives the result for general contractions.

Hence we have the following:

T is a contraction $\Leftrightarrow$ $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is a spectral set for T $\Leftrightarrow$
$\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is a complete spectral set for T $\Leftrightarrow$ T dilates to a unitary operator.

Polynomially bounded operators and K-spectral sets

We say that an operator T is *polynomially bounded* if it has $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ as an K-spectral set for some $K \geq 1$. Similarly it is *completely polynomially bounded* if $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ as a complete K-spectral set.

Polynomially bounded operators and K-spectral sets

We say that an operator T is *polynomially bounded* if it has $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ as an K-spectral set for some $K \geq 1$. Similarly it is *completely polynomially bounded* if $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ as a complete K-spectral set.

Suppose T is similar to a contraction; that is, there is a contraction S and an invertible operator X such that $T = X^{-1}SX$. Then one easily sees that for any polynomial p,

$$\|p(T)\| \leq \|X^{-1}\|\|X\|\|p(S)\|;$$

that is p is polynomially bounded.

Polynomially bounded operators and K-spectral sets

We say that an operator T is *polynomially bounded* if it has $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ as an K-spectral set for some $K \geq 1$. Similarly it is *completely polynomially bounded* if $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ as a complete K-spectral set.

Suppose T is similar to a contraction; that is, there is a contraction S and an invertible operator X such that $T = X^{-1}SX$. Then one easily sees that for any polynomial p,

$$\|p(T)\| \leq \|X^{-1}\|\|X\|\|p(S)\|;$$

that is p is polynomially bounded.

In fact, the same reasoning shows that if T is similar to a contraction, then T is completely polynomially bounded.

Polynomially bounded operators and K-spectral sets

We say that an operator T is *polynomially bounded* if it has $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ as an K-spectral set for some $K \geq 1$. Similarly it is *completely polynomially bounded* if $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ as a complete K-spectral set.

Suppose T is similar to a contraction; that is, there is a contraction S and an invertible operator X such that $T = X^{-1}SX$. Then one easily sees that for any polynomial p,

$$\|p(T)\| \leq \|X^{-1}\|\|X\|\|p(S)\|;$$

that is p is polynomially bounded.

In fact, the same reasoning shows that if T is similar to a contraction, then T is completely polynomially bounded.

Halmos' question: Is any polynomially bounded operator similar to a contraction?

Polynomial boundedness and similarity to a contraction

In the mid 80s, Paulsen showed that an operator is completely polynomially bounded iff it is similar to a contraction. In this case, T dilates to an operator which is similar to a unitary operator.

Polynomial boundedness and similarity to a contraction

In the mid 80s, Paulsen showed that an operator is completely polynomially bounded iff it is similar to a contraction. In this case, T dilates to an operator which is similar to a unitary operator.

In 1997, Pisier, using lacunary sequences and Foguel-type operators, constructed an example of a polynomially bounded operator which is *not* similar to a contraction.

Polynomial boundedness and similarity to a contraction

In the mid 80s, Paulsen showed that an operator is completely polynomially bounded iff it is similar to a contraction. In this case, T dilates to an operator which is similar to a unitary operator.

In 1997, Pisier, using lacunary sequences and Foguel-type operators, constructed an example of a polynomially bounded operator which is *not* similar to a contraction.

There is also a nice later proof due to Davidson and Paulsen, and work by Badea extending the known counterexamples.

Further results on complete K-spectral sets

- *(Douglas-Paulsen, 1986)* : If Ω is a finitely connected domain with analytic boundary components (given by $|\varphi_k(z)| = 1$), then there is a constant K such that whenever $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfies $\|\varphi_k(T)\| \leq 1$ for all k, then Ω is a complete K-spectral set for T.

  (A variation on a theorem of Arveson then implies that T is similar to an operator having a *normal rational $\partial\Omega$-dilation*; that is, T dilates to N *similar* to a normal operator with spectrum on $\partial\Omega$.)

Further results on complete K-spectral sets

- *(Douglas-Paulsen, 1986)* : If Ω is a finitely connected domain with analytic boundary components (given by $|\varphi_k(z)| = 1$), then there is a constant K such that whenever $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfies $\|\varphi_k(T)\| \le 1$ for all k, then Ω is a complete K-spectral set for T.

  (A variation on a theorem of Arveson then implies that T is similar to an operator having a *normal rational $\partial\Omega$-dilation*; that is, T dilates to N *similar* to a normal operator with spectrum on $\partial\Omega$.)

- *(Badea, Beckermann, Crouzeix, 2009)* : Let $\overline{\mathbb{D}_j}$ be disks in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, the extended complex plane, and suppose that these are 1-spectral sets for T. Then $\Omega = \bigcap D_j$ is a complete K-spectral set for T, where K depends only on the number of disks (and not T).

Further results on complete K-spectral sets

- *(Douglas-Paulsen, 1986)* : If Ω is a finitely connected domain with analytic boundary components (given by $|\varphi_k(z)| = 1$), then there is a constant K such that whenever $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfies $\|\varphi_k(T)\| \leq 1$ for all k, then Ω is a complete K-spectral set for T.

  (A variation on a theorem of Arveson then implies that T is similar to an operator having a *normal rational $\partial\Omega$-dilation*; that is, T dilates to N *similar* to a normal operator with spectrum on $\partial\Omega$.)

- *(Badea, Beckermann, Crouzeix, 2009)* : Let $\overline{\mathbb{D}_j}$ be disks in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, the extended complex plane, and suppose that these are 1-spectral sets for T. Then $\Omega = \bigcap D_j$ is a complete K-spectral set for T, where K depends only on the number of disks (and not T).

- *(Mascioni, 1994)* : Let φ be a finite Blaschke product and suppose that $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is a complete K'-spectral set for $\varphi(T)$. Then $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is a complete K-spectral set for T.

Further results on complete K-spectral sets

- *(Douglas-Paulsen, 1986)* : If Ω is a finitely connected domain with analytic boundary components (given by $|\varphi_k(z)| = 1$), then there is a constant K such that whenever $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfies $\|\varphi_k(T)\| \leq 1$ for all k, then Ω is a complete K-spectral set for T.

  (A variation on a theorem of Arveson then implies that T is similar to an operator having a *normal rational $\partial\Omega$-dilation*; that is, T dilates to N *similar* to a normal operator with spectrum on $\partial\Omega$.)

- *(Badea, Beckermann, Crouzeix, 2009)* : Let $\overline{\mathbb{D}_j}$ be disks in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, the extended complex plane, and suppose that these are 1-spectral sets for T. Then $\Omega = \bigcap D_j$ is a complete K-spectral set for T, where K depends only on the number of disks (and not T).

- *(Mascioni, 1994)* : Let φ be a finite Blaschke product and suppose that $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is a complete K'-spectral set for $\varphi(T)$. Then $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is a complete K-spectral set for T.

- *(Delyon, Delyon, 1999)* : Let Ω be a compact convex set containing the numerical range of an operator T. Then Ω is a complete K-spectral set for T.

Realizations and complete K-sectral sets

- Let $X \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$.
- Let $\Phi = \{\varphi_j\}$ be a collection of functions analytic on X (the *test functions*).
- Define $\Omega = \{z : |\varphi_j(z)| < 1\}$, $\partial\Omega = \{z : |\varphi_j(z)| = 1\}$.
- The *admissible kernels* are defined as $\mathcal{K}_\Phi = \{k \geq 0 : ((1 - \varphi_j(x)\varphi_j(y)^* k(x,y)) \geq 0\}$.
- The *Agler algebra* $H^\infty_d(\mathcal{K}_\Phi)$ of $M_d(\mathbb{C})$-valued analytic functions with norm uniformly bounded as multipliers on all $H^2(k)$, and unit ball $SA_d(\mathcal{K}_\Phi)$ (the *Schur-Agler class*).

Realizations and complete K-sectral sets

- Let $X \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$.
- Let $\Phi = \{\varphi_j\}$ be a collection of functions analytic on X (the *test functions*).
- Define $\Omega = \{z : |\varphi_j(z)| < 1\}$, $\partial\Omega = \{z : |\varphi_j(z)| = 1\}$.
- The *admissible kernels* are defined as $\mathcal{K}_\Phi = \{k \geq 0 : ((1 - \varphi_j(x)\varphi_j(y)^* k(x,y)) \geq 0\}$.
- The *Agler algebra* $H^\infty_d(\mathcal{K}_\Phi)$ of $M_d(\mathbb{C})$-valued analytic functions with norm uniformly bounded as multipliers on all $H^2(k)$, and unit ball $SA_d(\mathcal{K}_\Phi)$ (the *Schur-Agler class*).

Theorem 1 (Realization theorem).

For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $f : \Omega \to M_d(\mathbb{C})$, and $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\sigma(T) \subset \Omega$, the following are equivalent:

- $f \in SA_d(\mathcal{K}_\Phi)$;
- $\|\varphi_j(T)\| < 1$ *for all* k *implies* $\|f(T)\| \leq 1$.

Realizations and complete K-sectral sets

- Let $X \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$.
- Let $\Phi = \{\varphi_j\}$ be a collection of functions analytic on X (the *test functions*).
- Define $\Omega = \{z : |\varphi_j(z)| < 1\}$, $\partial\Omega = \{z : |\varphi_j(z)| = 1\}$.
- The *admissible kernels* are defined as $\mathcal{K}_\Phi = \{k \geq 0 : ((1 - \varphi_j(x)\varphi_j(y)^* k(x,y)) \geq 0\}$.
- The *Agler algebra* $H^\infty_d(\mathcal{K}_\Phi)$ of $M_d(\mathbb{C})$-valued analytic functions with norm uniformly bounded as multipliers on all $H^2(k)$, and unit ball $SA_d(\mathcal{K}_\Phi)$ (the *Schur-Agler class*).

Theorem 1 (Realization theorem).

For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $f : \Omega \to M_d(\mathbb{C})$, and $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\sigma(T) \subset \Omega$, the following are equivalent:

- $f \in SA_d(\mathcal{K}_\Phi)$*;*
- $\|\varphi_j(T)\| < 1$ *for all* k *implies* $\|f(T)\| \leq 1$*.*

In other words, any representation of $SA_1(\mathcal{K}_\Phi)$ which is strictly contractive on the test collection is completely contractive.

Our problem

Given

- a test collection $\Phi = \{\varphi_j\}$,
- $\Omega = \{z : |\varphi_j(z)| < 1\}$,
- $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\Omega}$,

under what conditions is it the case that

1. If $\|\varphi_j(T)\| \leq 1$ for all j (i.e., $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is a complete spectral set for $\varphi_j(T)$)
   or
2. If there exists K' such that for all j, $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is a complete K'-spectral set for $\varphi_j(T)$

$\Rightarrow$ there exists K such that $\overline{\Omega}$ is a complete K-spectral set for T.

Our problem

Given

- a test collection $\Phi = \{\varphi_j\}$,
- $\Omega = \{z : |\varphi_j(z)| < 1\}$,
- $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\Omega}$,

under what conditions is it the case that

1. If $\|\varphi_j(T)\| \leq 1$ for all j (i.e., $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is a complete spectral set for $\varphi_j(T)$)
   or
2. If there exists K' such that for all j, $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is a complete K'-spectral set for $\varphi_j(T)$

$\Rightarrow$ there exists K such that $\overline{\Omega}$ is a complete K-spectral set for T.

In the latter case, we say that Φ is a *strong test collection*. If K does not depend on T, Φ is called a *uniform (strong) test collection*. Otherwise it is *non-uniform*.

Some observations:

- When $\sigma(T) \cap \partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$, things become more difficult!
- If $\Phi = \{\varphi\}$, a uniform test collection is automatically a strong uniform test collection, since then there exists an invertible operator S such that $S\varphi(T)S^{-1} = \varphi(STS^{-1})$ is a contraction.
- Φ is always a non-uniform strong test collection for Ω (Rota for $\mathbb{D}$, Herrero & Voiculescu in general).

Some examples of test collections

- The intersection of finitely many disks in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ (Badea, Beckerman, Crouzeix) : *uniform test collection*;
- The *numerical range* of T, $W(T) = \{\langle Tx, x\rangle : \|x\| = 1\}$ is a convex set which is the intersection of (generally infinitely many) closed half planes. The test collection is comprised of the associated linear functionals (Delyon-Delyon and Putinar-Sandberg) : *uniform test collection*;
- More generally, *ρ-contractions*, $T^n = \rho P_H U^n|H$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, the intersection of (generally infinitely many) closed disks : *uniform test collection*;
- Nice n-holed domains (Douglas-Paulsen) : *uniform strong test collection*;
- $\Phi = \{\varphi\}$, where φ is a finite Blaschke product, $\Omega = \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ (Mascioni) : *non-uniform strong test collection*;
- $\Phi = \{\varphi\}$, where φ is an infinite Blaschke product with zeros $\{\lambda_i\}$ satisfying $\sum_i (1 - |\lambda_i|^2)^{1/2} < \infty$, $\Omega = \overline{\mathbb{D}} \backslash \overline{P}$, P the poles of φ (Stessin) : *non-uniform strong test collection*;

Admissible function families

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a domain whose boundary is a disjoint finite union of piecewise analytic Jordan curves such that the interior angles of the "corners" of $\partial\Omega$ are in $(0, \pi]$. We will say that an analytic function $\Phi = (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n) : \overline{\Omega} \to \overline{\mathbb{D}}^n$ is *admissible* if $\varphi_j \in \mathbb{A}(\overline{\Omega})$, for $k = 1, \ldots, n$, and there is a collection of closed analytic arcs $\{J_j\}_{k=1}^{n}$ of $\partial\Omega$ and a constant α, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, such that the following conditions are satisfied:

(a) The arcs J_j cover all $\partial\Omega$.

(b) $|\varphi_j| = 1$ in J_j, for $k = 1, \ldots, n$.

(c) For each j, $\ldots$ and φ_j' is of class Hölder α in $\Omega_j \supset \Omega$.

(d) A sector condition on the common endpoints of the arcs.

(e) $|\varphi_j'| \geq C > 0$ in J_j, for each j.

(f) $\varphi_j(\zeta) \neq \varphi_j(z)$ if $\zeta \in J_j$ and $z \in \overline{\Omega}$, $z \neq \zeta$.

Admissible function families, the picture



Figure: The geometric properties of an admissible function

Some of our results

Theorem 2.
Let Ω be a simply connected domain and $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n) : \overline{\Omega} \to \overline{\mathbb{D}}^n$ be admissible. Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\Omega}$.

- (i) *$\Phi = \{\varphi_j\}$ is a strong test collection for $\overline{\Omega}$ (the constant K can depend on T);*
- (ii) *if additionally, φ is injective and φ' does not vanish on Ω, then $\Phi = \{\varphi_j\}$ is a strong test collection $($that is, K does not depend on $T)$.*

In particular, if we take Φ to be the collection of conformal Riemann maps of the Ω_js, then this says Φ is a uniform strong test collection.

Some of our results

Theorem 2.

Let Ω be a simply connected domain and $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n) : \overline{\Omega} \to \overline{\mathbb{D}}^n$ be admissible. Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\Omega}$.

- (i) *$\Phi = \{\varphi_j\}$ is a strong test collection for $\overline{\Omega}$ (the constant K can depend on T);*
- (ii) *if additionally, φ is injective and φ' does not vanish on Ω, then $\Phi = \{\varphi_j\}$ is a strong test collection $($that is, K does not depend on $T)$.*

In particular, if we take Φ to be the collection of conformal Riemann maps of the Ω_js, then this says Φ is a uniform strong test collection.

Theorem 3.

Let Ω be a domain $($not necessarily connected$)$ and $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n) : \overline{\Omega} \to \overline{\mathbb{D}}^n$ be admissible, with φ injective and φ' not vanishing on Ω. Then φ is a strong test collection.

Havin–Nersessian–Ortega-Cerdà decomposition

- Let $\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_n$ be simply connected domains with transversally intersecting $\Omega = \bigcap \Omega_j$. Then every $f \in H^\infty(\Omega)$ has the form

$$f = f_1 + \cdots + f_n, \qquad f_j \in H^\infty(\Omega_j).$$

- In particular, we can write

$$f = g_1 \circ \varphi_1 + \cdots + g_n \circ \varphi_n, \qquad g_j \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}),$$

where φ_j is the Riemann map for Ω_j (take $g_j = f_j \circ \varphi_j^{-1}$).

A modified HNO-C decomposition

Suppose φ_j maps the boundary section J_j bijectively to an arc in $\mathbb{T}$, but is not necessarily bijective in the interior of Ω_j. If $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n) : \overline{\Omega} \to \overline{\mathbb{D}}^n$ is *admissible*, there are bounded operators $F_j : H^\infty(\Omega) \to H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ such that the operator

$$f \mapsto f - \sum_j F_j(f) \circ \varphi_j$$

is compact with range in $A(\Omega)$ ($H^\infty(\Omega)$ functions which are continuous on $\partial\Omega$). Also, each F_j maps $A(\Omega)$ to $A(\mathbb{D})$.

The algebras $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$ and A_φ

For $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n)$ as before, define

$$\mathcal{H}_\varphi = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{m} \prod_{k=1}^{n} f_{jk} \circ \varphi_j : f_{jk} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \right\}$$

$$A_\varphi = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{m} \prod_{k=1}^{n} f_{jk} \circ \varphi_j : f_{jk} \in A(\mathbb{D}) \right\}$$

The algebras $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$ and A_φ

For $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$ as before, define

$$\mathcal{H}_\varphi = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{m} \prod_{k=1}^{n} f_{jk} \circ \varphi_j : f_{jk} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \right\}$$

$$A_\varphi = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{m} \prod_{k=1}^{n} f_{jk} \circ \varphi_j : f_{jk} \in A(\mathbb{D}) \right\}$$

These are in general non-closed subalgebras of $H^\infty(\Omega)$ and $A(\Omega)$, respectively.

The algebras $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$ and A_φ

For $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n)$ as before, define

$$\mathcal{H}_\varphi = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{m} \prod_{k=1}^{n} f_{jk} \circ \varphi_j : f_{jk} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \right\}$$

$$A_\varphi = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{m} \prod_{k=1}^{n} f_{jk} \circ \varphi_j : f_{jk} \in A(\mathbb{D}) \right\}$$

These are in general non-closed subalgebras of $H^\infty(\Omega)$ and $A(\Omega)$, respectively.

What conditions on Ω ensure equality, or more generally, that these are closed subalgebras of finite codimension?

An answer

Theorem 4.
If Ω and φ are admissible, then $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$ and A_φ are closed subalgebras of finite codimension in $H^\infty(\Omega)$ and $A(\Omega)$, respectively.

An answer

Theorem 4.

If Ω and φ are admissible, then $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$ and A_φ are closed subalgebras of finite codimension in $H^\infty(\Omega)$ and $A(\Omega)$, respectively.

Proof: Let $Gf := \sum_j F_j(f) \circ \varphi_j$ on $H^\infty(\Omega)$. So $G - I$ is compact, which implies that $GH^\infty(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{H}_\varphi$ is a closed, finite codimensional subspace in $H^\infty(\Omega)$. Restrict G to $A(\Omega)$ for A_φ. $\square$

An answer

Theorem 4.
If Ω and φ are admissible, then $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$ and A_φ are closed subalgebras of finite codimension in $H^\infty(\Omega)$ and $A(\Omega)$, respectively.

Proof: Let $Gf := \sum_j F_j(f) \circ \varphi_j$ on $H^\infty(\Omega)$. So $G - I$ is compact, which implies that $GH^\infty(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{H}_\varphi$ is a closed, finite codimensional subspace in $H^\infty(\Omega)$. Restrict G to $A(\Omega)$ for A_φ. $\square$

If in addition, φ is injective and φ' does not vanish, we get equality. The proof uses Banach algebra techniques and a classification of the one-codimensional closed unital subalgebras of a unital Banach algebra due to Gorin.

Extensions of functions from analytic curves in $\mathbb{D}^n$

For $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n) : \overline{\Omega} \to \overline{\mathbb{D}}^n$, the set $\mathcal{V} = \varphi(\Omega)$ is an analytic curve in $\mathbb{D}^n$. We look at $H^\infty(\mathcal{V})$ and $A(\mathcal{V})$. For $f \in H^\infty(\mathcal{V})$, define $\varphi^* f := f \circ \varphi$.

Extensions of functions from analytic curves in $\mathbb{D}^n$

For $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n) : \overline{\Omega} \to \overline{\mathbb{D}}^n$, the set $\mathcal{V} = \varphi(\Omega)$ is an analytic curve in $\mathbb{D}^n$. We look at $H^\infty(\mathcal{V})$ and $A(\mathcal{V})$. For $f \in H^\infty(\mathcal{V})$, define $\varphi^* f := f \circ \varphi$.

If Ω and φ are admissible, $\varphi^* H^\infty(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{H}_\varphi$ and $\varphi^* A(\mathcal{V}) = A_\varphi$.

Extensions of functions from analytic curves in $\mathbb{D}^n$

For $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n) : \overline{\Omega} \to \overline{\mathbb{D}}^n$, the set $\mathcal{V} = \varphi(\Omega)$ is an analytic curve in $\mathbb{D}^n$. We look at $H^\infty(\mathcal{V})$ and $A(\mathcal{V})$. For $f \in H^\infty(\mathcal{V})$, define $\varphi^* f := f \circ \varphi$.

If Ω and φ are admissible, $\varphi^* H^\infty(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{H}_\varphi$ and $\varphi^* A(\mathcal{V}) = A_\varphi$.

Theorem 5.
There is a $C \geq 1$ such that every $f \in H^\infty(\mathcal{V})$ extends to $F \in SA(\mathbb{D}^n)$ with $\|F\| \leq C\|f\|$.

Extensions of functions from analytic curves in $\mathbb{D}^n$

For $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n) : \overline{\Omega} \to \overline{\mathbb{D}}^n$, the set $\mathcal{V} = \varphi(\Omega)$ is an analytic curve in $\mathbb{D}^n$. We look at $H^\infty(\mathcal{V})$ and $A(\mathcal{V})$. For $f \in H^\infty(\mathcal{V})$, define $\varphi^* f := f \circ \varphi$.

If Ω and φ are admissible, $\varphi^* H^\infty(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{H}_\varphi$ and $\varphi^* A(\mathcal{V}) = A_\varphi$.

Theorem 5.
There is a $C \geq 1$ such that every $f \in H^\infty(\mathcal{V})$ extends to $F \in SA(\mathbb{D}^n)$ with $\|F\| \leq C\|f\|$.

The proof uses the modified HNC-O theorem.

Similarity to normal operators

Stampfli proved in 1969 that if

- $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a smooth curve,
- $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with spectrum $\sigma(T)$ contained in Γ, and
- U a neighborhood of Γ such that $\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq \mathrm{dist}(\lambda, \Gamma)^{-1}$ for all $\lambda \in U \setminus \Gamma$,

then T is normal.

Similarity to normal operators

Stampfli proved in 1969 that if

- $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a smooth curve,
- $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with spectrum $\sigma(T)$ contained in Γ, and
- U a neighborhood of Γ such that $\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq \operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \Gamma)^{-1}$ for all $\lambda \in U \setminus \Gamma$,

then T is normal.

- If Γ is not smooth, a result of this kind need no longer be true.
- Even if Γ is a circle, the condition $\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq C \operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \Gamma)^{-1}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$, where $C > 1$, is not sufficient for T to be *similar* to a normal operator; that is, for some invertible S and normal operator N, to have $T = SNS^{-1}$.

Similarity to normal operators

Stampfli proved in 1969 that if

- $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a smooth curve,
- $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with spectrum $\sigma(T)$ contained in Γ, and
- U a neighborhood of Γ such that $\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq \mathrm{dist}(\lambda, \Gamma)^{-1}$ for all $\lambda \in U \setminus \Gamma$,

then T is normal.

- If Γ is not smooth, a result of this kind need no longer be true.
- Even if Γ is a circle, the condition $\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq C\mathrm{dist}(\lambda, \Gamma)^{-1}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$, where $C > 1$, is not sufficient for T to be *similar* to a normal operator; that is, for some invertible S and normal operator N, to have $T = SNS^{-1}$.

Nevertheless, the hypothesis in Stampfli's theorem can be successfully weakened.

Similarity to normal operators

We proved the following:

Theorem 6.

Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a $C^{1+\alpha}$ Jordan curve, and Ω the domain it bounds. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be an operator with $\sigma(T) \subset \Gamma$. Assume that

$$\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \Gamma)}, \qquad \lambda \in U \setminus \overline{\Omega},$$

for some open set U containing $\partial\Omega$, and

$$\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{C}{\operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \Gamma)}, \qquad \lambda \in \Omega,$$

for some constant C. Then T is similar to a normal operator.

Similarity to normal operators

We proved the following:

Theorem 6.

Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a $C^{1+\alpha}$ Jordan curve, and Ω the domain it bounds. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be an operator with $\sigma(T) \subset \Gamma$. Assume that

$$\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \le \frac{1}{\operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \Gamma)}, \qquad \lambda \in U \setminus \overline{\Omega},$$

for some open set U containing $\partial\Omega$, and

$$\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \le \frac{C}{\operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \Gamma)}, \qquad \lambda \in \Omega,$$

for some constant C. Then T is similar to a normal operator.

The same conclusion holds if, vice versa, these estimates hold with with constant 1 inside Ω and with constant C outside Ω.

Similarity to normal operators

We proved the following:

Theorem 6.

Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$ *be a* $C^{1+\alpha}$ *Jordan curve, and* Ω *the domain it bounds. Let* $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ *be an operator with* $\sigma(T) \subset \Gamma$*. Assume that*

$$\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{\mathrm{dist}(\lambda,\Gamma)}, \qquad \lambda \in U \setminus \overline{\Omega},$$

for some open set U *containing* $\partial\Omega$*, and*

$$\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{C}{\mathrm{dist}(\lambda,\Gamma)}, \qquad \lambda \in \Omega,$$

for some constant C*. Then* T *is similar to a normal operator.*

The same conclusion holds if, vice versa, these estimates hold with with constant 1 inside Ω and with constant C outside Ω.

Other theorems on similarity to normal operators involving resolvent estimates have been proved by van Casteren and Naboko, and we have versions of these as well.

Idea of the proof

The key technical tool is a generalization of the Riesz-Dunford functional calculus due to Dynkin.

Idea of the proof

The key technical tool is a generalization of the Riesz-Dunford functional calculus due to Dynkin.

We also use the following variation on a theorem stated earlier:

Theorem 7.

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and Ω a Jordan domain of class $C^{1+\alpha}$. Assume there is some $R > 0$ such that for every $\lambda \in \partial\Omega$ there is some point $\mu_k(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(\mu_k(\lambda), \partial\Omega) = |\mu_k(\lambda) - \lambda| = R$ and $\|(T - \mu_k(\lambda))^{-1}\| \leq R^{-1}$. Then $\overline{\Omega}$ is a complete K-spectral set for some $K > 0$.

Idea of the proof

The key technical tool is a generalization of the Riesz-Dunford functional calculus due to Dynkin.

We also use the following variation on a theorem stated earlier:

Theorem 7.

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and Ω a Jordan domain of class $C^{1+\alpha}$. Assume there is some $R > 0$ such that for every $\lambda \in \partial\Omega$ there is some point $\mu_k(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(\mu_k(\lambda), \partial\Omega) = |\mu_k(\lambda) - \lambda| = R$ and $\|(T - \mu_k(\lambda))^{-1}\| \leq R^{-1}$. Then $\overline{\Omega}$ is a complete K-spectral set for some $K > 0$.

In other words, the conclusion is that there exists a constant $K \geq 1$ such that

$$\|f(T)\| \leq K \|f\|_{H^\infty(\Omega)},$$

for every (matrix-valued) rational function f with poles off of $\overline{\Omega}$ (and hence for every f which is continuous in $\overline{\Omega}$ and analytic in Ω).

Idea of the proof

The key technical tool is a generalization of the Riesz-Dunford functional calculus due to Dynkin.

We also use the following variation on a theorem stated earlier:

Theorem 7.

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and Ω a Jordan domain of class $C^{1+\alpha}$. Assume there is some $R > 0$ such that for every $\lambda \in \partial\Omega$ there is some point $\mu_k(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(\mu_k(\lambda), \partial\Omega) = |\mu_k(\lambda) - \lambda| = R$ and $\|(T - \mu_k(\lambda))^{-1}\| \leq R^{-1}$. Then $\overline{\Omega}$ is a complete K-spectral set for some $K > 0$.

In other words, the conclusion is that there exists a constant $K \geq 1$ such that

$$\|f(T)\| \leq K \|f\|_{H^\infty(\Omega)},$$

for every (matrix-valued) rational function f with poles off of $\overline{\Omega}$ (and hence for every f which is continuous in $\overline{\Omega}$ and analytic in Ω).

In fact, under the circumstances, we only need to know that Ω is a K-spectral set. However, once we know that T is similar to a normal operator, it follows that Ω is a complete K-spectral set.


Joyeux anniversaire Baruch!