

Non-commutative peak points in operator algebras

Raphaël Clouâtre

University of Manitoba

Noncommutative Analysis at the Technion
June 2022

This talk is based on work from several projects, some of which joint with Ian Thompson.

The classical case: uniform algebras

X compact metric space

$\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$ unital, norm-closed subalgebra that separates the points of X

The classical case: uniform algebras

X compact metric space

$\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$ unital, norm-closed subalgebra that separates the points of X
(a **uniform algebra**)

The classical case: uniform algebras

X compact metric space

$\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$ unital, norm-closed subalgebra that separates the points of X
(a **uniform algebra**)

$B \subset X$ is a **boundary** for \mathcal{F} if

$$\|f\| = \max_{x \in B} |f(x)|, \quad f \in \mathcal{F}$$

The classical case: uniform algebras

X compact metric space

$\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$ unital, norm-closed subalgebra that separates the points of X
(a **uniform algebra**)

$B \subset X$ is a **boundary** for \mathcal{F} if

$$\|f\| = \max_{x \in B} |f(x)|, \quad f \in \mathcal{F}$$

If $Y \subset X$ is a closed boundary for \mathcal{F} , then the restriction $\rho_Y : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow C(Y)$ is isometric.

The classical case: uniform algebras

X compact metric space

$\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$ unital, norm-closed subalgebra that separates the points of X
(a **uniform algebra**)

$B \subset X$ is a **boundary** for \mathcal{F} if

$$\|f\| = \max_{x \in B} |f(x)|, \quad f \in \mathcal{F}$$

If $Y \subset X$ is a closed boundary for \mathcal{F} , then the restriction $\rho_Y : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow C(Y)$ is isometric. We may view \mathcal{F} as a subalgebra of $C(Y)$.

The classical case: uniform algebras

X compact metric space

$\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$ unital, norm-closed subalgebra that separates the points of X
(a **uniform algebra**)

$B \subset X$ is a **boundary** for \mathcal{F} if

$$\|f\| = \max_{x \in B} |f(x)|, \quad f \in \mathcal{F}$$

If $Y \subset X$ is a closed boundary for \mathcal{F} , then the restriction $\rho_Y : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow C(Y)$ is isometric. We may view \mathcal{F} as a subalgebra of $C(Y)$.

How can we find the smallest closed boundary for \mathcal{F} (the **Shilov** boundary)?

The classical case: uniform algebras

X compact metric space

$\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$ unital, norm-closed subalgebra that separates the points of X
(a **uniform algebra**)

$B \subset X$ is a **boundary** for \mathcal{F} if

$$\|f\| = \max_{x \in B} |f(x)|, \quad f \in \mathcal{F}$$

If $Y \subset X$ is a closed boundary for \mathcal{F} , then the restriction $\rho_Y : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow C(Y)$ is isometric. We may view \mathcal{F} as a subalgebra of $C(Y)$.

How can we find the smallest closed boundary for \mathcal{F} (the **Shilov** boundary)?

$x \in X$ is in the **Choquet boundary** of \mathcal{F} if there is a **unique** Borel probability measure μ on X satisfying

$$f(x) = \int_X f d\mu, \quad f \in \mathcal{F}.$$

The classical case: uniform algebras

X compact metric space

$\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$ unital, norm-closed subalgebra that separates the points of X
(a **uniform algebra**)

$B \subset X$ is a **boundary** for \mathcal{F} if

$$\|f\| = \max_{x \in B} |f(x)|, \quad f \in \mathcal{F}$$

If $Y \subset X$ is a closed boundary for \mathcal{F} , then the restriction $\rho_Y : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow C(Y)$ is isometric. We may view \mathcal{F} as a subalgebra of $C(Y)$.

How can we find the smallest closed boundary for \mathcal{F} (the **Shilov** boundary)?

$x \in X$ is in the **Choquet boundary** of \mathcal{F} if there is a **unique** Borel probability measure μ on X satisfying

$$f(x) = \int_X f d\mu, \quad f \in \mathcal{F}.$$

(the measure μ is then called a **representing measure** for x)

The classical case: uniform algebras

X compact metric space

$\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$ unital, norm-closed subalgebra that separates the points of X
(a **uniform algebra**)

$B \subset X$ is a **boundary** for \mathcal{F} if

$$\|f\| = \max_{x \in B} |f(x)|, \quad f \in \mathcal{F}$$

If $Y \subset X$ is a closed boundary for \mathcal{F} , then the restriction $\rho_Y : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow C(Y)$ is isometric. We may view \mathcal{F} as a subalgebra of $C(Y)$.

How can we find the smallest closed boundary for \mathcal{F} (the **Shilov** boundary)?

$x \in X$ is in the **Choquet boundary** of \mathcal{F} if there is a **unique** Borel probability measure μ on X satisfying

$$f(x) = \int_X f d\mu, \quad f \in \mathcal{F}.$$

(the measure μ is then called a **representing measure** for x)

Theorem (Choquet, 1956)

Every point $x \in X$ admits a representing measure concentrated on the Choquet boundary of \mathcal{F} . In particular, the Choquet boundary is a boundary for \mathcal{F} .

The connection between the Choquet and Shilov boundaries

$x \in X$ is a **peak point** for \mathcal{F} if there is $f \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\|f\| = 1$ such that $f(x) = 1$ and

$$\{x\} = \{z \in X : |f(z)| = 1\}.$$

The connection between the Choquet and Shilov boundaries

$x \in X$ is a **peak point** for \mathcal{F} if there is $f \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\|f\| = 1$ such that $f(x) = 1$ and

$$\{x\} = \{z \in X : |f(z)| = 1\}.$$

Conclusion: a boundary always contains all the peak points.

The connection between the Choquet and Shilov boundaries

$x \in X$ is a **peak point** for \mathcal{F} if there is $f \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\|f\| = 1$ such that $f(x) = 1$ and

$$\{x\} = \{z \in X : |f(z)| = 1\}.$$

Conclusion: a boundary always contains all the peak points.

Theorem (Bishop, 1959)

The set of peak points coincides with the Choquet boundary.

The connection between the Choquet and Shilov boundaries

$x \in X$ is a **peak point** for \mathcal{F} if there is $f \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\|f\| = 1$ such that $f(x) = 1$ and

$$\{x\} = \{z \in X : |f(z)| = 1\}.$$

Conclusion: a boundary always contains all the peak points.

Theorem (Bishop, 1959)

The set of peak points coincides with the Choquet boundary. In particular, the Choquet boundary is the smallest boundary.

The connection between the Choquet and Shilov boundaries

$x \in X$ is a **peak point** for \mathcal{F} if there is $f \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\|f\| = 1$ such that $f(x) = 1$ and

$$\{x\} = \{z \in X : |f(z)| = 1\}.$$

Conclusion: a boundary always contains all the peak points.

Theorem (Bishop, 1959)

The set of peak points coincides with the Choquet boundary. In particular, the Choquet boundary is the smallest boundary.

Conclusion: the Shilov boundary of \mathcal{F} is the closure of the Choquet boundary.

Operator algebras and C^* -envelopes

\mathcal{A} unital operator algebra

Is there a choice of (completely isometric) representation $\rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ for which the C^* -algebra $C^*(\rho(\mathcal{A}))$ is “smallest” possible?

Operator algebras and C^* -envelopes

\mathcal{A} unital operator algebra

Is there a choice of (completely isometric) representation $\rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ for which the C^* -algebra $C^*(\rho(\mathcal{A}))$ is “smallest” possible?

(this would be a non-commutative analogue of the Shilov boundary)

Operator algebras and C^* -envelopes

\mathcal{A} unital operator algebra

Is there a choice of (completely isometric) representation $\rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ for which the C^* -algebra $C^*(\rho(\mathcal{A}))$ is “smallest” possible?

(this would be a non-commutative analogue of the Shilov boundary)

Theorem (Hamana, 1979)

Yes – this is called the C^ -envelope.*

Operator algebras and C^* -envelopes

\mathcal{A} unital operator algebra

Is there a choice of (completely isometric) representation $\rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ for which the C^* -algebra $C^*(\rho(\mathcal{A}))$ is “smallest” possible?

(this would be a non-commutative analogue of the Shilov boundary)

Theorem (Hamana, 1979)

Yes – this is called the C^ -envelope.*

How do we identify the C^* -envelope $C_e^*(\mathcal{A})$?

Non-commutative uniform algebra theory

(Recall: given a uniform algebra $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$, a point $x \in X$ is in the Choquet boundary if there is a unique unital positive extension to $C(X)$ of the map $f \mapsto f(x)$ on \mathcal{F} .)

Non-commutative uniform algebra theory

(Recall: given a uniform algebra $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$, a point $x \in X$ is in the Choquet boundary if there is a unique unital positive extension to $C(X)$ of the map $f \mapsto f(x)$ on \mathcal{F} .)

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ be a unital operator algebra

Non-commutative uniform algebra theory

(Recall: given a uniform algebra $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$, a point $x \in X$ is in the Choquet boundary if there is a unique unital positive extension to $C(X)$ of the map $f \mapsto f(x)$ on \mathcal{F} .)

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ be a unital operator algebra

An irreducible $*$ -representation β of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ is a **boundary representation** for \mathcal{A} if it has the unique extension property: β is the unique unital completely positive extension of $\beta|_{\mathcal{A}}$ to $C^*(\mathcal{A})$.

Non-commutative uniform algebra theory

(Recall: given a uniform algebra $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$, a point $x \in X$ is in the Choquet boundary if there is a unique unital positive extension to $C(X)$ of the map $f \mapsto f(x)$ on \mathcal{F} .)

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ be a unital operator algebra

An irreducible $*$ -representation β of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ is a **boundary representation** for \mathcal{A} if it has the unique extension property: β is the unique unital completely positive extension of $\beta|_{\mathcal{A}}$ to $C^*(\mathcal{A})$.

Theorem (Arveson 1969, Muhly–Solel 1998, Dritschel–McCullough 2005, Arveson 2008, Davidson–Kennedy 2015)

Let $\mathcal{J} \subset C^(\mathcal{A})$ denote the intersection of the kernels of all boundary representation for \mathcal{A} . Then, the C^* -envelope of \mathcal{A} is $C^*(\mathcal{A})/\mathcal{J}$.*

Non-commutative uniform algebra theory

(Recall: given a uniform algebra $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$, a point $x \in X$ is in the Choquet boundary if there is a unique unital positive extension to $C(X)$ of the map $f \mapsto f(x)$ on \mathcal{F} .)

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ be a unital operator algebra

An irreducible $*$ -representation β of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ is a **boundary representation** for \mathcal{A} if it has the unique extension property: β is the unique unital completely positive extension of $\beta|_{\mathcal{A}}$ to $C^*(\mathcal{A})$.

Theorem (Arveson 1969, Muhly–Solel 1998, Dritschel–McCullough 2005, Arveson 2008, Davidson–Kennedy 2015)

Let $\mathcal{J} \subset C^(\mathcal{A})$ denote the intersection of the kernels of all boundary representation for \mathcal{A} . Then, the C^* -envelope of \mathcal{A} is $C^*(\mathcal{A})/\mathcal{J}$.*

Roughly: The non-commutative Choquet boundary is a boundary for \mathcal{A} , and its closure is the non-commutative Shilov boundary.

Non-commutative uniform algebra theory

(Recall: given a uniform algebra $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$, a point $x \in X$ is in the Choquet boundary if there is a unique unital positive extension to $C(X)$ of the map $f \mapsto f(x)$ on \mathcal{F} .)

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ be a unital operator algebra

An irreducible $*$ -representation β of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ is a **boundary representation** for \mathcal{A} if it has the unique extension property: β is the unique unital completely positive extension of $\beta|_{\mathcal{A}}$ to $C^*(\mathcal{A})$.

Theorem (Arveson 1969, Muhly–Solel 1998, Dritschel–McCullough 2005, Arveson 2008, Davidson–Kennedy 2015)

Let $\mathcal{J} \subset C^(\mathcal{A})$ denote the intersection of the kernels of all boundary representation for \mathcal{A} . Then, the C^* -envelope of \mathcal{A} is $C^*(\mathcal{A})/\mathcal{J}$.*

Roughly: The non-commutative Choquet boundary is a boundary for \mathcal{A} , and its closure is the non-commutative Shilov boundary.

“[...] **one should probably not try to push the analogy too far.**” (Arveson, 1969)

Non-commutative uniform algebra theory

(Recall: given a uniform algebra $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$, a point $x \in X$ is in the Choquet boundary if there is a unique unital positive extension to $C(X)$ of the map $f \mapsto f(x)$ on \mathcal{F} .)

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ be a unital operator algebra

An irreducible $*$ -representation β of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ is a **boundary representation** for \mathcal{A} if it has the unique extension property: β is the unique unital completely positive extension of $\beta|_{\mathcal{A}}$ to $C^*(\mathcal{A})$.

Theorem (Arveson 1969, Muhly–Solel 1998, Dritschel–McCullough 2005, Arveson 2008, Davidson–Kennedy 2015)

Let $\mathcal{J} \subset C^(\mathcal{A})$ denote the intersection of the kernels of all boundary representation for \mathcal{A} . Then, the C^* -envelope of \mathcal{A} is $C^*(\mathcal{A})/\mathcal{J}$.*

Roughly: The non-commutative Choquet boundary is a boundary for \mathcal{A} , and its closure is the non-commutative Shilov boundary.

“[...] **one should probably not try to push the analogy too far.**” (Arveson, 1969)

Motivating question for this talk

What about non-commutative peak points?

Going farther: what are non-commutative points?

(Recall: given a uniform algebra $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$, a point $x \in X$ is a peak point for \mathcal{F} if there is $f \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\|f\| = 1$ such that $\{x\} = \{z \in X : |f(z)| = 1\}$.)

Going farther: what are non-commutative points?

(Recall: given a uniform algebra $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$, a point $x \in X$ is a peak point for \mathcal{F} if there is $f \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\|f\| = 1$ such that $\{x\} = \{z \in X : |f(z)| = 1\}$.)

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ be a unital operator algebra

Going farther: what are non-commutative points?

(Recall: given a uniform algebra $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$, a point $x \in X$ is a peak point for \mathcal{F} if there is $f \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\|f\| = 1$ such that $\{x\} = \{z \in X : |f(z)| = 1\}$.)

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ be a unital operator algebra

What are the “points” here?

Going farther: what are non-commutative points?

(Recall: given a uniform algebra $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$, a point $x \in X$ is a peak point for \mathcal{F} if there is $f \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\|f\| = 1$ such that $\{x\} = \{z \in X : |f(z)| = 1\}$.)

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ be a unital operator algebra

What are the “points” here? Things bifurcate:

(A) The map $f \mapsto f(x)$ is an irreducible $*$ -representation of $C(X)$.

Going farther: what are non-commutative points?

(Recall: given a uniform algebra $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$, a point $x \in X$ is a peak point for \mathcal{F} if there is $f \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\|f\| = 1$ such that $\{x\} = \{z \in X : |f(z)| = 1\}$.)

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ be a unital operator algebra

What are the “points” here? Things bifurcate:

- (A) The map $f \mapsto f(x)$ is an irreducible $*$ -representation of $C(X)$. Hence, the non-commutative analogue of a point is an irreducible $*$ -representation of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$.

Going farther: what are non-commutative points?

(Recall: given a uniform algebra $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$, a point $x \in X$ is a peak point for \mathcal{F} if there is $f \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\|f\| = 1$ such that $\{x\} = \{z \in X : |f(z)| = 1\}$.)

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ be a unital operator algebra

What are the “points” here? Things bifurcate:

- (A) The map $f \mapsto f(x)$ is an irreducible $*$ -representation of $C(X)$. Hence, the non-commutative analogue of a point is an irreducible $*$ -representation of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$.
- (B) The map $f \mapsto f(x)$ is a pure state of $C(X)$.

Going farther: what are non-commutative points?

(Recall: given a uniform algebra $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$, a point $x \in X$ is a peak point for \mathcal{F} if there is $f \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\|f\| = 1$ such that $\{x\} = \{z \in X : |f(z)| = 1\}$.)

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ be a unital operator algebra

What are the “points” here? Things bifurcate:

- (A) The map $f \mapsto f(x)$ is an irreducible $*$ -representation of $C(X)$. Hence, the non-commutative analogue of a point is an irreducible $*$ -representation of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$.
- (B) The map $f \mapsto f(x)$ is a pure state of $C(X)$. Hence, the non-commutative analogue of a point is a pure state of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$.

Going farther: what are non-commutative points?

(Recall: given a uniform algebra $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$, a point $x \in X$ is a peak point for \mathcal{F} if there is $f \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\|f\| = 1$ such that $\{x\} = \{z \in X : |f(z)| = 1\}$.)

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ be a unital operator algebra

What are the “points” here? Things bifurcate:

- (A) The map $f \mapsto f(x)$ is an irreducible $*$ -representation of $C(X)$. Hence, the non-commutative analogue of a point is an irreducible $*$ -representation of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$.
- (B) The map $f \mapsto f(x)$ is a pure state of $C(X)$. Hence, the non-commutative analogue of a point is a pure state of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$.
- (C) The indicator function $\chi_{\{x\}}$ is a projection in $C(X)^{**}$.

Going farther: what are non-commutative points?

(Recall: given a uniform algebra $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$, a point $x \in X$ is a peak point for \mathcal{F} if there is $f \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\|f\| = 1$ such that $\{x\} = \{z \in X : |f(z)| = 1\}$.)

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ be a unital operator algebra

What are the “points” here? Things bifurcate:

- (A) The map $f \mapsto f(x)$ is an irreducible $*$ -representation of $C(X)$. Hence, the non-commutative analogue of a point is an irreducible $*$ -representation of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$.
- (B) The map $f \mapsto f(x)$ is a pure state of $C(X)$. Hence, the non-commutative analogue of a point is a pure state of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$.
- (C) The indicator function $\chi_{\{x\}}$ is a projection in $C(X)^{**}$. Hence, the non-commutative analogue of a point is a (minimal, closed) projection in $C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}$.

Going farther: what are non-commutative points?

(Recall: given a uniform algebra $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$, a point $x \in X$ is a peak point for \mathcal{F} if there is $f \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\|f\| = 1$ such that $\{x\} = \{z \in X : |f(z)| = 1\}$.)

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ be a unital operator algebra

What are the “points” here? Things bifurcate:

- (A) The map $f \mapsto f(x)$ is an irreducible $*$ -representation of $C(X)$. Hence, the non-commutative analogue of a point is an irreducible $*$ -representation of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$.
- (B) The map $f \mapsto f(x)$ is a pure state of $C(X)$. Hence, the non-commutative analogue of a point is a pure state of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$.
- (C) The indicator function $\chi_{\{x\}}$ is a projection in $C(X)^{**}$. Hence, the non-commutative analogue of a point is a (minimal, closed) projection in $C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}$.

All three interpretations are reasonable, and offer advantages over the others.

Peak projections

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ unital operator algebra

Akemann's non-commutative topology: a projection $q \in C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}$ is **closed** if it is the weak-* limit of a decreasing net of contractions in $C^*(\mathcal{A})$.

Peak projections

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ unital operator algebra

Akemann's non-commutative topology: a projection $q \in C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}$ is **closed** if it is the weak-* limit of a decreasing net of contractions in $C^*(\mathcal{A})$.

Definition (Hay, 2007)

A projection q is a **peak projection** for \mathcal{A} if there is a contraction $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $aq = q$ and $\|ap\| < 1$ for every closed projection $p \in C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}$ orthogonal to q .

Peak projections

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ unital operator algebra

Akemann's non-commutative topology: a projection $q \in C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}$ is **closed** if it is the weak-* limit of a decreasing net of contractions in $C^*(\mathcal{A})$.

Definition (Hay, 2007)

A projection q is a **peak projection** for \mathcal{A} if there is a contraction $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $aq = q$ and $\|ap\| < 1$ for every closed projection $p \in C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}$ orthogonal to q .

Theorem (Glicksberg, 1962)

Let \mathcal{F} be a uniform algebra on a compact metric space X . A closed set $E \subset X$ is a peak set for \mathcal{F} if and only if $\chi_E \in \mathcal{F}^{\perp\perp}$.

Peak projections

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ unital operator algebra

Akemann's non-commutative topology: a projection $q \in C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}$ is **closed** if it is the weak-* limit of a decreasing net of contractions in $C^*(\mathcal{A})$.

Definition (Hay, 2007)

A projection q is a **peak projection** for \mathcal{A} if there is a contraction $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $aq = q$ and $\|ap\| < 1$ for every closed projection $p \in C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}$ orthogonal to q .

Theorem (Glicksberg, 1962)

Let \mathcal{F} be a uniform algebra on a compact metric space X . A closed set $E \subset X$ is a peak set for \mathcal{F} if and only if $\chi_E \in \mathcal{F}^{\perp\perp}$.

Theorem (Blecher, Hay, Neal, Read, 2007–2012)

Assume that \mathcal{A} is separable. Then, a closed projection $q \in C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}$ is a peak projection for \mathcal{A} if and only if $q \in \mathcal{A}^{\perp\perp}$.

Peak representations

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ unital operator algebra, π irreducible $*$ -representation of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$
 $\mathcal{U}_\pi = \{\sigma \text{ irreducible}, \sigma \not\cong \pi\}$.

Peak representations

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ unital operator algebra, π irreducible $*$ -representation of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$
 $\mathcal{U}_\pi = \{\sigma \text{ irreducible}, \sigma \not\cong \pi\}$.

Definition

We say that π is a

- **peak** representation for \mathcal{A} if there is $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathcal{A})$ with the property that $\|\pi^{(n)}(A)\| > \|\sigma^{(n)}(A)\|$ for every $\sigma \in \mathcal{U}_\pi$;

Peak representations

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ unital operator algebra, π irreducible $*$ -representation of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$
 $\mathcal{U}_\pi = \{\sigma \text{ irreducible}, \sigma \not\cong \pi\}$.

Definition

We say that π is a

- **peak** representation for \mathcal{A} if there is $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathcal{A})$ with the property that $\|\pi^{(n)}(A)\| > \|\sigma^{(n)}(A)\|$ for every $\sigma \in \mathcal{U}_\pi$;
- **strong peak** representation for \mathcal{A} if there is $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathcal{A})$ with the property that $\|\pi^{(n)}(A)\| > \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{U}_\pi} \|\sigma^{(n)}(A)\|$.

Peak representations

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ unital operator algebra, π irreducible $*$ -representation of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$
 $\mathcal{U}_\pi = \{\sigma \text{ irreducible}, \sigma \not\cong \pi\}$.

Definition

We say that π is a

- **peak** representation for \mathcal{A} if there is $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathcal{A})$ with the property that $\|\pi^{(n)}(A)\| > \|\sigma^{(n)}(A)\|$ for every $\sigma \in \mathcal{U}_\pi$;
- **strong peak** representation for \mathcal{A} if there is $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathcal{A})$ with the property that $\|\pi^{(n)}(A)\| > \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{U}_\pi} \|\sigma^{(n)}(A)\|$.

If π is a peak representation, then $\ker \sigma \subset \ker \pi$ implies that $\sigma \cong \pi$.

Peak representations

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ unital operator algebra, π irreducible $*$ -representation of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$
 $\mathcal{U}_\pi = \{\sigma \text{ irreducible}, \sigma \not\cong \pi\}$.

Definition

We say that π is a

- **peak** representation for \mathcal{A} if there is $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathcal{A})$ with the property that $\|\pi^{(n)}(A)\| > \|\sigma^{(n)}(A)\|$ for every $\sigma \in \mathcal{U}_\pi$;
- **strong peak** representation for \mathcal{A} if there is $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathcal{A})$ with the property that $\|\pi^{(n)}(A)\| > \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{U}_\pi} \|\sigma^{(n)}(A)\|$.

If π is a peak representation, then $\ker \sigma \subset \ker \pi$ implies that $\sigma \cong \pi$.

If π is a strong peak representation, then $[\pi]$ is an isolated point of the spectrum.

Peak representations

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ unital operator algebra, π irreducible $*$ -representation of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$
 $\mathcal{U}_\pi = \{\sigma \text{ irreducible}, \sigma \not\cong \pi\}$.

Definition

We say that π is a

- **peak** representation for \mathcal{A} if there is $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathcal{A})$ with the property that $\|\pi^{(n)}(A)\| > \|\sigma^{(n)}(A)\|$ for every $\sigma \in \mathcal{U}_\pi$;
- **strong peak** representation for \mathcal{A} if there is $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathcal{A})$ with the property that $\|\pi^{(n)}(A)\| > \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{U}_\pi} \|\sigma^{(n)}(A)\|$.

If π is a peak representation, then $\ker \sigma \subset \ker \pi$ implies that $\sigma \cong \pi$.

If π is a strong peak representation, then $[\pi]$ is an isolated point of the spectrum.

Definition

We say that π is a **local peak** representation for \mathcal{A} if there is $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathcal{A})$ with the property that

$$\|\pi^{(n)}(A)\| > \|P_F \sigma^{(n)}(A)|_F\|$$

for every $\sigma \in \mathcal{U}_\pi$ and F finite-dimensional subspace.

Application: residually finite-dimensional C^* -envelopes

An operator algebra is **residually finite-dimensional** (RFD) if it can be embedded inside of a product of matrix algebras.

Application: residually finite-dimensional C^* -envelopes

An operator algebra is **residually finite-dimensional** (RFD) if it can be embedded inside of a product of matrix algebras.

Question

Let \mathcal{A} be a unital RFD operator algebra. When is $C_e^(\mathcal{A})$ RFD?*

Application: residually finite-dimensional C^* -envelopes

An operator algebra is **residually finite-dimensional** (RFD) if it can be embedded inside of a product of matrix algebras.

Question

Let \mathcal{A} be a unital RFD operator algebra. When is $C_e^(\mathcal{A})$ RFD? When does \mathcal{A} admit a finite-dimensional boundary representation?*

Application: residually finite-dimensional C^* -envelopes

An operator algebra is **residually finite-dimensional** (RFD) if it can be embedded inside of a product of matrix algebras.

Question

Let \mathcal{A} be a unital RFD operator algebra. When is $C_e^(\mathcal{A})$ RFD? When does \mathcal{A} admit a finite-dimensional boundary representation?*

If π is a $*$ -representation, its **support projection** is the unique central projection $\mathfrak{s}_\pi \in C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}$ such that $\ker \pi^{**} = C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}(I - \mathfrak{s}_\pi)$.

Application: residually finite-dimensional C^* -envelopes

An operator algebra is **residually finite-dimensional** (RFD) if it can be embedded inside of a product of matrix algebras.

Question

Let \mathcal{A} be a unital RFD operator algebra. When is $C_e^(\mathcal{A})$ RFD? When does \mathcal{A} admit a finite-dimensional boundary representation?*

If π is a $*$ -representation, its **support projection** is the unique central projection $\mathfrak{s}_\pi \in C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}$ such that $\ker \pi^{**} = C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}(I - \mathfrak{s}_\pi)$.

Theorem (C.-Thompson 2020)

Let π be a finite-dimensional irreducible $$ -representation of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$. If \mathfrak{s}_π is a peak projection for \mathcal{A} , then π is necessarily a boundary representation and a local peak representation for \mathcal{A} .*

Application: residually finite-dimensional C^* -envelopes

An operator algebra is **residually finite-dimensional** (RFD) if it can be embedded inside of a product of matrix algebras.

Question

Let \mathcal{A} be a unital RFD operator algebra. When is $C_e^(\mathcal{A})$ RFD? When does \mathcal{A} admit a finite-dimensional boundary representation?*

If π is a $*$ -representation, its **support projection** is the unique central projection $\mathfrak{s}_\pi \in C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}$ such that $\ker \pi^{**} = C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}(I - \mathfrak{s}_\pi)$.

Theorem (C.–Thompson 2020)

Let π be a finite-dimensional irreducible $$ -representation of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$. If \mathfrak{s}_π is a peak projection for \mathcal{A} , then π is necessarily a boundary representation and a local peak representation for \mathcal{A} .*

Theorem (C.–Thompson 2020)

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \prod_\lambda \mathbb{M}_{n_\lambda}$ be a unital RFD operator algebra and let π be an irreducible $$ -representation that is a strong peak representation for \mathcal{A} . Then, π is a finite-dimensional boundary representation.*

Peak states

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ unital operator algebra

Definition

A state ω on $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ is a **peak state** for \mathcal{A} if there is $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\omega(a^*a) = 1$ and $\varphi(a^*a) < 1$ for every state $\varphi \neq \omega$.

Peak states

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ unital operator algebra

Definition

A state ω on $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ is a **peak state** for \mathcal{A} if there is $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\omega(a^*a) = 1$ and $\varphi(a^*a) < 1$ for every state $\varphi \neq \omega$.

If ω is a state, then its **left support projection** is the unique projection $l_\omega \in C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}$ such that

$$C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}(I - l_\omega) = \{\xi \in C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**} : \omega(\xi^*\xi) = 0\}.$$

Peak states

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ unital operator algebra

Definition

A state ω on $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ is a **peak state** for \mathcal{A} if there is $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\omega(a^*a) = 1$ and $\varphi(a^*a) < 1$ for every state $\varphi \neq \omega$.

If ω is a state, then its **left support projection** is the unique projection $l_\omega \in C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}$ such that

$$C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}(I - l_\omega) = \{\xi \in C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**} : \omega(\xi^*\xi) = 0\}.$$

Theorem (C.-Thompson 2022)

Let ω be a pure state on $C^(\mathcal{A})$. Consider the following statements.*

Peak states

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ unital operator algebra

Definition

A state ω on $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ is a **peak state** for \mathcal{A} if there is $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\omega(a^*a) = 1$ and $\varphi(a^*a) < 1$ for every state $\varphi \neq \omega$.

If ω is a state, then its **left support projection** is the unique projection $l_\omega \in C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}$ such that

$$C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}(I - l_\omega) = \{\xi \in C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**} : \omega(\xi^*\xi) = 0\}.$$

Theorem (C.-Thompson 2022)

Let ω be a pure state on $C^*(\mathcal{A})$. Consider the following statements.

- 1 The left support projection of ω is a peak projection for \mathcal{A} .

Peak states

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ unital operator algebra

Definition

A state ω on $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ is a **peak state** for \mathcal{A} if there is $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\omega(a^*a) = 1$ and $\varphi(a^*a) < 1$ for every state $\varphi \neq \omega$.

If ω is a state, then its **left support projection** is the unique projection $l_\omega \in C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}$ such that

$$C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}(I - l_\omega) = \{\xi \in C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**} : \omega(\xi^*\xi) = 0\}.$$

Theorem (C.-Thompson 2022)

Let ω be a pure state on $C^*(\mathcal{A})$. Consider the following statements.

- 1 The left support projection of ω is a peak projection for \mathcal{A} .
- 2 The state ω is a peak state for \mathcal{A} .

Peak states

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ unital operator algebra

Definition

A state ω on $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ is a **peak state** for \mathcal{A} if there is $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\omega(a^*a) = 1$ and $\varphi(a^*a) < 1$ for every state $\varphi \neq \omega$.

If ω is a state, then its **left support projection** is the unique projection $l_\omega \in C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}$ such that

$$C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}(I - l_\omega) = \{\xi \in C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**} : \omega(\xi^*\xi) = 0\}.$$

Theorem (C.-Thompson 2022)

Let ω be a pure state on $C^*(\mathcal{A})$. Consider the following statements.

- 1 The left support projection of ω is a peak projection for \mathcal{A} .
- 2 The state ω is a peak state for \mathcal{A} .
- 3 The GNS representation of ω is a local peak representation for \mathcal{A} .

Peak states

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ unital operator algebra

Definition

A state ω on $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ is a **peak state** for \mathcal{A} if there is $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\omega(a^*a) = 1$ and $\varphi(a^*a) < 1$ for every state $\varphi \neq \omega$.

If ω is a state, then its **left support projection** is the unique projection $l_\omega \in C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}$ such that

$$C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}(I - l_\omega) = \{\xi \in C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**} : \omega(\xi^*\xi) = 0\}.$$

Theorem (C.-Thompson 2022)

Let ω be a pure state on $C^*(\mathcal{A})$. Consider the following statements.

- 1 The left support projection of ω is a peak projection for \mathcal{A} .
- 2 The state ω is a peak state for \mathcal{A} .
- 3 The GNS representation of ω is a local peak representation for \mathcal{A} .

Then, we have (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3).

Peak states

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ unital operator algebra

Definition

A state ω on $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ is a **peak state** for \mathcal{A} if there is $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\omega(a^*a) = 1$ and $\varphi(a^*a) < 1$ for every state $\varphi \neq \omega$.

If ω is a state, then its **left support projection** is the unique projection $l_\omega \in C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}$ such that

$$C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**}(I - l_\omega) = \{\xi \in C^*(\mathcal{A})^{**} : \omega(\xi^*\xi) = 0\}.$$

Theorem (C.-Thompson 2022)

Let ω be a pure state on $C^*(\mathcal{A})$. Consider the following statements.

- 1 The left support projection of ω is a peak projection for \mathcal{A} .
- 2 The state ω is a peak state for \mathcal{A} .
- 3 The GNS representation of ω is a local peak representation for \mathcal{A} .

Then, we have (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3).

None of these implications can be reversed.

A noncommutative analogue of Bishop's theorem

(Recall: given a uniform algebra $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$, a point $x \in X$ is in the Choquet boundary if and only if it is a peak point.)

A noncommutative analogue of Bishop's theorem

(Recall: given a uniform algebra $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$, a point $x \in X$ is in the Choquet boundary if and only if it is a peak point.)

Theorem (C. – ongoing work)

*Let $\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ be a unital operator algebra that has the **factorization property** inside of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$. Then, every pure state of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ is a peak state for \mathcal{A} , and the support projection of every character of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ is a peak projection for \mathcal{A} .*

A noncommutative analogue of Bishop's theorem

(Recall: given a uniform algebra $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$, a point $x \in X$ is in the Choquet boundary if and only if it is a peak point.)

Theorem (C. – ongoing work)

*Let $\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ be a unital operator algebra that has the **factorization property** inside of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$. Then, every pure state of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ is a peak state for \mathcal{A} , and the support projection of every character of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ is a peak projection for \mathcal{A} .*

Factorization property: for every invertible positive $t \in C^*(\mathcal{A})$ there is $b \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $t = b^*b$.

A noncommutative analogue of Bishop's theorem

(Recall: given a uniform algebra $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$, a point $x \in X$ is in the Choquet boundary if and only if it is a peak point.)

Theorem (C. – ongoing work)

*Let $\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ be a unital operator algebra that has the **factorization property** inside of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$. Then, every pure state of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ is a peak state for \mathcal{A} , and the support projection of every character of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ is a peak projection for \mathcal{A} .*

Factorization property: for every invertible positive $t \in C^*(\mathcal{A})$ there is $b \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $t = b^*b$.

Examples:

- $\mathcal{T}_n \subset M_n$ (Cholesky)
- $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{T}, m)$
- Finite maximal subdiagonal algebras (Arveson, 1967)
- some nest algebras (Power, 1986)

Application: the hyperrigidity conjecture

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ unital operator algebra

Arveson's hyperrigidity conjecture (2011)

Assume that every **irreducible** $*$ -representation of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ is a boundary representation for \mathcal{A} . Then, every $*$ -representation enjoys the **unique extension property** with respect to \mathcal{A} .

Application: the hyperrigidity conjecture

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ unital operator algebra

Arveson's hyperrigidity conjecture (2011)

Assume that every **irreducible** $*$ -representation of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ is a boundary representation for \mathcal{A} . Then, every $*$ -representation enjoys the **unique extension property** with respect to \mathcal{A} .

This is still very much open, even when $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ is commutative.

Application: the hyperrigidity conjecture

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ unital operator algebra

Arveson's hyperrigidity conjecture (2011)

Assume that every **irreducible** $*$ -representation of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ is a boundary representation for \mathcal{A} . Then, every $*$ -representation enjoys the **unique extension property** with respect to \mathcal{A} .

This is still very much open, even when $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ is commutative.

X be a compact metric space, $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$ uniform algebra

$\pi : C(X) \rightarrow B(\mathfrak{H})$ unital $*$ -representation

$\Pi : C(X) \rightarrow B(\mathfrak{H})$ unital completely positive map such that $\pi|_{\mathcal{F}} = \Pi|_{\mathcal{F}}$

Application: the hyperrigidity conjecture

$\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ unital operator algebra

Arveson's hyperrigidity conjecture (2011)

Assume that every **irreducible** $*$ -representation of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ is a boundary representation for \mathcal{A} . Then, every $*$ -representation enjoys the **unique extension property** with respect to \mathcal{A} .

This is still very much open, even when $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ is commutative.

X be a compact metric space, $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$ uniform algebra

$\pi : C(X) \rightarrow B(\mathfrak{H})$ unital $*$ -representation

$\Pi : C(X) \rightarrow B(\mathfrak{H})$ unital completely positive map such that $\pi|_{\mathcal{F}} = \Pi|_{\mathcal{F}}$

Arveson's local hyperrigidity theorem (2011)

Let $x \in X$ be a point in the Choquet boundary of \mathcal{F} . Then,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \|(\pi(f) - \Pi(f))E_{\pi}(B(x, \delta))\| = 0, \quad f \in C(X).$$

General local hyperrigidity

X compact metric space, $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$ uniform algebra
 $x \in X$ point in the Choquet boundary of \mathcal{F}

General local hyperrigidity

X compact metric space, $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$ uniform algebra
 $x \in X$ point in the Choquet boundary of \mathcal{F}

There is a function $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$ with the property that

$$|\varphi(y)| < \varphi(x) = 1$$

for each $y \in X, y \neq x$.

General local hyperrigidity

X compact metric space, $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$ uniform algebra
 $x \in X$ point in the Choquet boundary of \mathcal{F}

There is a function $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$ with the property that

$$|\varphi(y)| < \varphi(x) = 1$$

for each $y \in X, y \neq x$.

Key observation

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi^n f\| = |f(x)|$ for every $f \in C(X)$.

General local hyperrigidity

X compact metric space, $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$ uniform algebra
 $x \in X$ point in the Choquet boundary of \mathcal{F}

There is a function $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$ with the property that

$$|\varphi(y)| < \varphi(x) = 1$$

for each $y \in X, y \neq x$.

Key observation

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi^n f\| = |f(x)|$ for every $f \in C(X)$.

Theorem (C. 2018, 2022)

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ be a unital operator algebra and let π be a unital $$ -representation of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$. If $\omega : C^*(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a peak state for \mathcal{A} , then π has the “local” unique extension property at ω .*

Thank you!